	PHOTOGRAPH THIS SHEET
AD A 1 1 2 0 8 1 DTIC ACCESSION NUMBER	LEVEL HA US MARINE CORPS INVENTORY CODE LME-1 WASh DC 20380 MARINE CORPS FIELD LOGISTICS FOR The POM 83 APP 8 DOCUMENT IDENTIFICATION
A B	This document has been approved for public release and sale; in distribution is unlimited. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT
ACCESSION FOR	DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT
ACCESSION FOR NTIS GRA&I DTIC TAB UNANNOUNCED JUSTIFICATION BY DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY COD DIST AVAIL	AND/OR SPECIAL DATE ACCESSIONED
DISTRIBU	TION STAMP
	82 01 13 010
	DATE RECEIVED IN DTIC
	PHOTOGRAPH THIS SHEET AND RETURN TO DTIC-DDA-2
DTIC FORM 70A	DOCUMENT PROCESSING SHEET

The second secon

APPENDIX B

POM 83

FOR THE

MARINE CORPS FIELD LOGISTICS SYSTEM

FINAL REPORT

This document has been approved for public relocate and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

DECEMBER 1980

APPENDIX B

POM 83 FOR THE MARINE CORPS FIELD LOGISTICS SYSTEM

Final Report

December 1980

Prepared under
U.S. Marine Corps
Contract No. M00027-80-G-0031

Northrop Services, Inc. 1700 North Lynn Street Suite 1100 Arlington, Virginia 22209

CONTENTS

		Page
	POM 83 Marine Corps Field Logistics System	B-1
	Narrative Justifications and Planning Summaries	8-8
	Appropriation Summaries Containers Shelters Motor Transport Material Handling Equipment Service Support System Totals	B-140 B-141 B-142 B-143 B-144 B-145
Table		
B-1	Transportation Distances	B-5
B-2	Freight Transportation Charges	B-6

POM 83 FOR THE MARINE CORPS FIELD LOGISTICS SYSTEM

The objective of the recommended program for Program Objective Memorandum, FY83-87, (POM 83) is to optimize, within fiscally prudent bounds, the phased procurement of all items identified within the Marine Corps Field Logistics System (FLS). This optimization of procurement is necessary to achieve a complete FLS operational capability by 1990.

Development of the POM 83-87 input integrates the requisite R&D and material procurement actions with the associated program fiscal resource requirements in an orderly time-phased manner. It provides for the introduction of equipments into service use over a 10-year period, FY81-90. All milestones and supporting data are therefore targeted to this end. The funding profile considers R&D efforts, an equipment phase-in/phase-out plan, and an effort to level fund procurements in consideration of fiscal constraints precipitated by the current fiscal environment.

As the program was developed, particular attention was given to improving Marine Corps capabilities in the areas of containers, expeditionary shelters, logistics transportation, material handling equipment, and service support functions. An individual procurement fiscal data sheet has been prepared for each item that is identified as a hardware element within the FLS. These items necessarily meet the general program guidance of assured readiness and modernization that will result in an enhanced logistics support capability for the Marine Corps. As such, the list includes, in addition to the new equipment, certain items identified as a current capability; i.e., an item now in the inventory or due in as a result of prior year budgeting. The majority of the items, however, meet the definition of a future capability; that is, an item identified as a requirement not yet in the inventory or the budget but one which is defensible both logistically and budgetarily.

The POM structure considers the current development status of all FLS items and is consistent with known Marine Amphibious Force (MAF) FLS outfitting requirements. Those initiatives that were identified as requirements in POM 82 have been included in the procurement fiscal data sheets that reflect an FLS requirement for four MAFs.

The research and development activity and accompanying procurement program for the FLS combine to create a program of significant resource value. As the foregoing efforts move forward, the requirements, costing, and objectives rationale upon which the program foundation is based will continue to be refined. For instance, funding for training devices will be included following a determination of pertinent training requirements. When such adjustments are coupled with the modifications that are frequently necessary after program and budget reviews, the fiscal data sheets will accurately reflect the approved procurement program.

This appendix contains a procurement fiscal data sheet for each FLS element. These sheets depict equipment types, the quantities to be procured commencing in FY79, and the estimated funding requirements by fiscal year. With the exception of a two-MAF procurement of flatracks, quantities are depicted for the initial issue to four MAFs. Operational readiness float (ORF) items and prepositioned war reserve (PWR) stocks have been included to attain the inventory objective for each item. Funding is in terms of FY82 dollars. Approximate escalation costs have been provided in the RDT&E, Procurement Marine Corps (PMC), and Operation and Maintenance Marine Corps (OMMC) lines, using the latest available Marine Corps price escalation indices.

Funding for the OMMC/OMMCR appropriations reflects the annual requirements for replenishment of consumable operating stocks. These dollars are for the reimbursement of the stock fund account (SFA), and have been programmed commencing with the first year the item is scheduled to be fielded. Derivation of dollar requirements was accomplished by multiplying the unit cost by the active/reserve quantity by an annual percentage. The percentages used are a derivation of a consensus of field and Headquarters Marine Corps (HQMC) data reflecting past and current experience in procurement budgeting and vary by equipment type: shelters and containers--3 percent; engineer equipment, MHE, construction equipment and general property--1 to 6 percent; motor transport--8 to 12 percent; trailers--4 percent; and communications and electronics equipment--12 percent. The figures were de veloped in general discussions with Marine Corps representatives (Code LMA and LPF).

The PMC funding in the spares and repair parts line includes funding requirements within shopping list line item numbers (SLLIN) 722, 998, and 721. Funding within SLLIN 722 reflects the PMC dollars required to procure initial issue depot reparable spare parts for support of the end item. These items are purchased citing the appropriation stores account (ASA). They include parts issued concurrently with the end item, PWR stocks, and system back-up parts to support a demand development period.

Similarly, funding within SLLIN 998 reflects dollar requirements for initial support; however, these funds purchase consumable items from the SFA. Initially, this is a stock fund investment. Upon distribution of initial issue assets to using/service units, PMC funds are used for reimbursement of all initial issue SFA items distributed.

PMC spares and repair parts funding requirements for SLLIN 722 were programmed to commence one year after procurement contract award. Derivation of the dollar requirement was accomplished by multiplying the equipment unit cost by the active/reserve quantity and by a percentage. Here again, the percentage figure varied by equipment type: shelters and containers—I percent; engineer equipment, MHE, construction equipment, and general property—2 percent; motor transport and trailers—2 percent.

PMC funding requirements for initial support within SLLIN 998 were programmed for the second year after contract award. Again, derivation of the dollar requirement was accomplished by multiplying the equipment unit cost by the active/reserve quantity and by a percentage. The percentage figures by equipment type were: shelters and containers--1.5 percent; engineer equipment, MHE, construction equipment, and general property--1.5 percent; motor transport, trailers--1.5 percent; communication and electronics equipment--5 percent for high density items, and 11 percent for critical low density items.

Funding within SLLIN 721 reflects the PMC dollars required to support replenishment spares (depot reparable). This funding is programmed to commence in the second year after contract award.

The initial spares requirement includes initial issue, PWR stocks, and system back-up stocks. The initial portion is normally considered to be one-fourth of the total initial spares requirement. Annual washout rate is historically 10 percent. Therefore, the annual PMC replenishment spares requirement has been derived by multiplying the initial spares requirement by 2.5 percent.

The OMMC and PMC funding computations using the percentages in the preceding paragraphs represent a best estimate for programming purposes at this time. It is realized that, as recurring demand is established, stratification will take over and more accurately forecast the Marine Corps' requirements.

The PMC funding programmed for new technical documentation includes costs anticipated for manuals, repair parts lists, and documentation to meet depot requirements for overhaul procedures. Marine Corps experience indicates that a factor of 5 percent of total procurement costs is consistent in the current usage data for new technical documentation. Additionally, current experience indicates that a factor of 1 percent of procurement costs should be sufficient for procurement of nonservice-tailored technical manuals for use with off-the-shelf items.

Funding in the first destination transportation budget line (case A) has been programmed to reflect direct shipment to the using units for all subsystems. Funding also has been programmed to reflect delivery to the logistic bases. For instance, Albany, Georgia, will receive items for one-half of IV MAF and the maintenance float (MF) and PWR items

for II MAF, while Barstow, California, will receive items for the remainder of IV MAF and the MF and PWR items for I and III MAF. For program costing purposes, the item manufacturer was considered to be located in the Detroit, Michigan, area. Case B transportation funding reflects shipment of all items to the logistic bases, with further shipment of initial issues to the using units programmed for the following year. It is recognized that aggressive coordination with the Navy to provide shipment in "gray bottoms" to units outside CONUS could result in lower freight transportation costs; however, in order to ensure sufficient programming support, funding for transportation by Military Sealift Command (MSC) shipping has been included.

The transportation distances contained in table B-1 were used in the computations for first destination transportation costing.

The freight transportation charges contained in table B-2 were used in transportation costing computations. They are the overall average rates per ton mile for commodity groups--vehicles and containers of all kinds reflected in Marine Corps statistics.

An appropriation summary has been provided for each subsystem. It includes the applicable appropriation cost by fiscal year and the total programmed development and procurement costs.

Costs for the container subsystem approximate \$4.4 million in RDT&E and \$73.9 million in procurement funding. However, two areas require further consideration. First, as present DOD guidelines generally preclude service ownership of commercially standard containers, none have been programmed. Nevertheless, it is considered prudent that anticipated funding requirements be investigated concerning their potential leasing. This appears to be an area for further detailed evaluation. Secondly, the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) flatrack is programmed for procurement within the container subsystem. Guantities for both the 20- and 40-foot flatracks have been programmed for two MAFs only. The 40-foot flatrack is required for the intermodal shipment of the large 60'x128' shelter (hangar sections). Recent approval for 40-foot flatrack procurement has been granted by DOD for a quantity not to exceed 2800 in the FY82-FY91 timeframe. Appropriate FLS vehicular transportation capability for the loaded 40-foot flatrack remains to be specifically determined, although a transporter element has been added for this purpose.

Costs for the shelter subsystem approximate \$1.8 million in RDT&E and \$468 million in PMC funding. While the program reflects RDT&E funding for shelter appointments, procurement quantities and associated costs for these appointments remain to be determined. A study effort concerning shelter appointments has been completed recently at the Marine Corps Development Center. Related test and evaluation efforts are scheduled to

Table B-1. Transportation Distances* (Miles)

From Detroit, Michigan, to:

Camp Lejeune, NC, MCB Camp Pendleton, CA, MCB El Toro, CA, MCAS Little Creek, VA, NAB New River, NC, MCAS	836 2,353 2,313 704 833	Oakland CA Quantico, VA, MCB San Diego, CA Twenty Nine Palms, CA, MCB Yuma, AZ, MCAS	2,413 550 2,358 2,204 2,189
Fi	rom Barstow,	California, to:	
Camp Lejeune, NC, MCB Camp Pendleton, CA, MCB Cherry Point, NC, MCAS Detroit, MI El Toro, CA, MCAS Little Creek, VA, NAB New River, NC, MCAS	2,508 172 2,513 2,185 132 2,544 2,505	Oakland, CA Quantico, VA, MCB San Diego, CA San Francisco, CA, NB Twenty Nine Palms, CA, MCB Yuma, AZ, MCAS	401 2,523 182 418 125 300
	From Albany,	Georgia, to:	
Camp Lejeune, NC, MCB Camp Pendleton, CA, MCB Cherry Point, NC, MCAS Detroit, MI El Toro, CA, MCAS	544 2,197 593 949 2,190	Oakland, CA Quantico, VA, MCB San Diego, CA San Francisco, CA, NB Twenty Nine Palms, CA, MCB	2,532 769 2,162 2,549 2,113

Yuma, AZ, MCAS

1,980

716

537

Little Creek, VA, NAB

New River, NC, MCAS

^{*}Departments of the Army, the Navy, and the Air Force, February 1977, Transportation and Travel - "Official Tables of Distances Continental United States, Alaska, Hawaii, Canada, Canal Zone, Central American, Mexico, and Puerto Rico," effective 1 April 1977; Navy Publication NAVSO P-2471.

Table B-2. Freight Transportation Charges

Continental United States*

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O

		Amount per Ton-Mile (\$)
Vehicles Containers, all kinds		.11 .07
Military Sealift Command**	Special Cargo Vehicles	General Cargo
Ocean Travel to Okinawa	\$131.50/Meas. Ton	\$91.95/Meas. Ton
Port Handling***		
West Coast Ports Okinawa	\$25.94/Meas. Ton \$21.04/Meas. Ton	\$50.36/Meas. Ton \$38.64/Meas. Ton
Conversion Factors		
Special Cargo/Vehicles	4.73 Meas. Ton/ Short Ton	
General Cargo	2.32 Meas. Ton/ Short Ton	

^{*}Military Traffic Management Transportation Statistics by Branch of Service - First Quarter FY 1980.

^{**}Commander Military Sealift Command (COMSC) Instruction 7600.3F a/ch 10 of 1 March 1980.

^{***}Transportation & Travel MTMC Port Handling Billing Rates Sep. 1979. MARCORPS Bulletin 4610 - Overseas Terminal Handling & Inland Linehaul Cargo Rates - dtd 2 Nov. 1979.

occur in the FY81-82 time frame beginning with the Electronics Maintenance Shelter Complex.

Costs for the motor transport subsystem approximate \$3.3 million in RDT&E and \$595 million in PMC funding. Prime mover quantities have been scheduled for procurement in the same year as the logistics trailers. Since it is envisioned that the heavy prime mover will also pull the 65-ton semitrailer, the appropriate procurement quantities have been included in the heavy prime mover program.

Costs for the material handling subsystem approximate \$495 thousand in RDT&E and \$5.6 million in PMC funding. Funding pertains solely to the container handler. All other items of material handling equipment either have been procured or procurement actions will be completed prior to FY82 funding. Operating costs and spares will have been stratified and more accurately forecast by MCLB, Albany and the field.

Costs for the service support subsystem have been programmed at \$9.2 million in RDT&E and \$540 million in PMC funding. These modules and equipment are for those service support functions that can be configured to take advantage of the efficiency and intermodal flexibility afforded by dimensional standardization as well as satisfy the logistic needs in the AOA.

As now programmed, appropriation costs are RDT&E--\$19.2 million, OMMC--\$330.7 million, OMMCR--\$100.2 million, and PMC appropriation funding of \$1.67 billion in system hardware, \$42.2 million in spares and repair parts, \$55-59 million in first and second destination transportation costs, and \$37.2 million in documentation. Total system costs approximate \$2.3 billion.

CONTAINER SUBSYSTEM

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

l. Insert

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

The insert is a 10"x17"x45" reusable, prefabricated container with lid, which will replace the wood mount-out PALCON; 36 per QUADCON), or it may be used separately as a field box. Its tare weight is about 35 pounds with all units of a Marine Amphibious Force (MAF) to pack and ship organizational property and consumable supplies. In garrison, it will be used in storerooms to keep material organized and ready for expeditious mount-out. In the field box of about the same size. It functions as a storage drawer within the PALCON and QUADCON (6 inserts per lid, and the maximum gross weight is approximately 155 pounds to facilitate handling. The insert will be used by it offers a variety of uses to store and move material and facilitate supply operations.

Thirty-six prototypes of the insert were fabricated for initial engineering test and evaluation during FY80. This effort has been completed except for the cold chamber test at Point Mugu, California which is scheduled for completion in December 1980.

A second-generation prototype is scheduled for procurement in FY81 for test and evaluation in FY82.

_

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Insert Unit Cost: .05	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	==	10	13	13	13	10 14	70	1 1	70 84
2. OMMC* - Cost Cost Escalated	11	265 285	265 306	267 327	267 347	240 327	1,304	240 342	1,544
3. OMMCR* - Cost Escalated	Cost	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	764	504 764
4. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	!!	1 1	80 67	16 20	24 31	32 44	80 104	131	211
5. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	! !	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	24	24
Quantity	1	5,306	5,306	5,348	5,348	4,799	26,107	14,895	41,002
Spares and Repair Parts	{	1	~	7	7	9	23	28	51
lst Destination Transporta- tíon - Case A Case B	11	1 1	9 9	9 9	16 14	16 14	44 40	118 46	162 86
Documentation	1	20	i	;	:	1	20	1	20
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	;	I	~	~	7	7	73	80
*Procurement Costs									

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2. PALCON

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

The PALCON is a 41"x40"x48" weatherproof, reusable, prefabricated container. It has a tare weight of 360 pounds and a cargo capability of approximately 890 pounds, for a maximum gross weight of 1,250 pounds. It has a pallet base with tineways for four-way forklift handling and can also be handled by sling from a crane or helicopter.

which can be lifted with a sling or by forklift. Twenty-four PALCONs can be accommodated by the 8'x20' logistics The PALCON has a fastening capability to permit coupling and handling of up to eight units in a 2x2x2 array trailer. It is compatible with the material handling equipment and stowage areas of amphibious ships.

A rack is being developed to provide the PALCON with an optional bin-drawer configuration. The rack will house six inserts for use as bin-drawers for small items.

The PALCON will be used to pack and ship organizational property and consumable supplies. In garrison and in the field, it will serve as a storage cabinet for stockrooms and supply activities. The PALCON is a replacement for the current 36"x32"x40" and 43"x40"x48" wooden box pallets and will obviate the need for a number of flat pallets.

Eight prototypes of the PALCON and one rack were fabricated for initial engineering test and evaluation during FY80. This effort has been completed except for the cold chamber test at Point Magu, California which is scheduled for completion in Decmeber 1980.

A second-generation prototype is scheduled for procurement in FY 81 for test and evaluation in FY82

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

PALCON Unit Cost: .623	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
l. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	125 125	119	160 185	159 195	159	117	839 1,000	11	839 1,000
2. OMMC* - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	2,001 2,155	1,925 2,220	1,934 2,369	1,934 2,514	1,763 2,403	9,557	1,763 2,510	11,320
3. OMMCR* - Cost Escalated	Cost	11	1 1	11	1 1	11	1 1	5,574	3,672 5,574
4. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	61 70	120 147	179 233	238 324	598 774	984	1,582
5. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	11	1 1	1 1	1 1	(; ;	168	168
Guantity Quantity Racks, (Active) Cost: .069 Cost Escalated	1111	3,212 509 35 38	3,090 510 35 40	3,105 507 35 43	3,104 508 35 46	2,830 458 32 44	15,341 2,492 172 211	8,724 458 32 46	24,065 2,950 204 204
Guantity Racks, (Reserve) - Cost: .069 - Cost Escalated	111	111	111	111	111	111	111	945 66 100	945 66 100
Spares and Repair Parts	l	1	19	49	67	20	167	231	398
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	38	34	92 83	92 83	252 242	700 272	952 514
Documentation		150	1	1	ł	;	150	ł	150
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	!	!	1	21	21	7	49	447	967
*Procurement Costs									2

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

3. QUADCON

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

The QUADCON is an 82"x57½"x96" closed, weatherproof, reusable, prefabricated container. It has a tare weight of 2,565 pounds and a cargo capacity of 7,435 pounds, or a maximum gross weight of 10,000 pounds. It ing capability to permit forming arrays of two, three, or four. A four-array of QUADCONs is nearly equivalent to possesses ANSI/ISO standard corner fittings for intermodal containers and a base with tineways for four-way forklift handling. It can also be handled by sling from a crane or helicopter. The QUADCON will have a connectone 8'x8'x20' commercial container and can be accommodated by the 8'x20' logistics trailer. A rack is being developed to provide the QUADCON with an optional bin-drawer configuration. The rack will house 36 inserts for use as bin-drawers for small items. The QUADCON will be used to pack and ship organizational property and consumable supplies. In garrison it will serve to store materials for deployment readiness; in the field, the QUADCON will provide a weatherproof, secure, and organized storage facility of materials for using units and logistic support activities Four prototypes of the QUADCON and one rack were fabricated for initial engineering test and evaluation during FY80. ANSI/ISO testing was completed at the Line Fast Corporation, Montevallo, Alabama in September 1980. The remaining cold chamber test at Point Mugu, California is scheduled for completion in December 1980.

A second-generation prototype is scheduled for procurement in FY 81 for test and evaluation in FY82.

~

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

1 1	QUADCON Unit Cost: 2.5	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1	1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	515 515	497 536	665 768	664 815	664 865	493	3,498	1 1	3,498 4,172
7	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	3,783	3,603 4,183	3,648	3,630	3,320 4,553	17,984 22,080	10,223 15,296	28,207 37,376
M	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	! !	1 1	108 125	216 265	325 423	434 592	1,083	1,802	2,885
7 В-	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escaleted	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	312	312
ط 13	Guantity Quantity (Racks) - Cost: .378 - Cost Escalated	1111	1,513 62 23 25 25	1,441 62 23 27	1,459 64 24 30	1,452 63 24 31	1,328 56 21 29	7,193 307 115 142	4,089 178 67 100	11,282 485 182 242
Ŋ	Spares and Repair Parts	;	ł	36	91	92	93	312	424	736
~	1st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	123 136	113	303 283	303 283	842 827	2,384 958	3,226 1,785
۵	Documentation	ł	284	1	1	:	1	284	i	284
2	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B		1	1	89	89	22	158	1,488	1,646
I										

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

4. 8'x8'x20' Commercial Container

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

Within OSD guidelines, the Marine Corps will not own commercially available containers unless specifically authorized in accordance with DODI 4500.37.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

								Total	Total
Commercial Container 8'x8'x20' Unit Cost:	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	POM Outyear	Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated		Comm	ercially Av	Commercially Available	!				
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated									
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1	None Required	equired						
4. OMMCR - Cost ตุ Cost Escalated	ì	None F	None Required	1					
51 Guantity	i	Within	DOD guid	elines, USN	1C will not	: own com	nercially ava	Within DOD guidelines, USMC will not own commercially available containers	
Spares and Repair Parts	i	None F	None Required	1					
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	i	None Required	Required	1					
Documentation									
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B									

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

5. Flatrack 8'x8'x20'

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

base corners and tops of columns have ANSI/ISO fittings to permit container restraint during transit and stacking The flatrack is an open side, open top, steel platform container with a vertical column at each corner. The (normally up to six high) in containerships. It is adaptable to the unitized handling of material which is massive, oddly shaped, or of outsized dimensions. It has a tineway base to permit handling by a forklift, within weight constraints, or it can be handled by sling from a crane or helicopter. The 8'x8'x20' flatrack is needed for handling, storing, and transporting the 20^{1} 33' and 32^{1} 3' shelters and joining corridors. While these flatracks are commercial items, they are in extremely limited production and use in private Consequently, they are not readily available for lease. This necessitates procurement to ensure their availability in time of need to meet MAF shipping requirements. The quantities shown are those required for one Atlantic coast and one Pacific coast MAF. industry, generally with modifications for special purpose configurations.

A waiver of the provisions of DODI 4500.37 was granted to the Marine Corps by OSD on 11 August 1980 to permit the ownership of this flatrack.

~

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	Flatrack 8'x8'x20'	2828	F V B3	76 > 4) 20 > 1	787	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Total	Total	Total Cost/
		D102	61	1 0-	61.1	00	101	FUM 82	Outyear	GCy
	1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated		Comme	Commercially Available	ailable	1				
	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	2,080 2,080	4,068 4,402	960	1,140 1,406	2,076 2,717	11	10,324 11,720	1 1	10,324 11,720
	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	İ	None Required	equired	1					
B-:	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	i	None Required	equired	1					
1/	Guantity	410	829	160	190	346	ł	1,784	;	1,784
	Spares and Repair Parts		None Required	equired	i					
	1st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	11	139 136	231 225	130 133	36 40	169	605 591	1 1	605 591
	Documentation	103	ł	į	ł	i	ŧ	103	!	103
	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	ł	2 1	31	67	18	33	131	12	143

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

6. Flatrack, 8½'x8'x40'

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

The flatrack is an open side, open top, steel platform container with a vertical column at each corner. The base corners and tops of columns have ANSI/ISO fittings to permit container restraint during transit and stacking (normally up to six high) in containerships. It is adaptable to the unitized handling of material which is massive, oddly shaped, or of outsized dimensions. It has a tineway base to permit handling by a forklift, within weight constraints, or it can be handled by sling from a crane or helicopter. The 40-foot flatrack is required for the transport of 60'x128' shelters, While these flatracks are commercial items, they are in extremely limited production and use in private industry, generally with modifications for special purpose configurations. Consequently, they are not readily available for lease. This necessitates procurement to ensure their availability in time of need to meet MAF shipping requirements. The quantities shown are those required for one Atlantic coast and one Pacific coast MAF.

A waiver of the provisions of DODI 4500.37 was granted the Marine Corps by OSD on 11 August 1980 to permit the ownership of this flatrack.

9

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Guantity (Units)

Flotted to the block of						Total	Total	NOG	/190
Unit Cost: 7.2	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	POM 83	Outyear	Oty
l. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated		Comme	Commercially Available	Filable					
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	460 460	3,528 3,817	1 1	691 852	576 754	230 315	5,485 6,198	i	5,485 6,198
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	•	None Required	equired	<u> </u>					
4. OMMCR - Cost மு	İ	None R	None Required	1					
6 Quantity	75	490	ł	96	80	32	577	1	773
Spares and Repair Parts	1	None R	- None Required	ł					
Ist Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	52 52	363 355	1 1	37 41	83 77	535 525	21	556 546
Documentation	55	1	ł	1	;	1	55	21	35
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	;	13	74	1	24	111	11	122

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

. Shipping Frame 8'x8'x10'

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

pounds and is capable of housing a variety of material. The frame will possess fixtures necessary to seat and restrain equipment during movement, including unserviceable, repairable items retrograded for repair and return to ships. The frame may be handled by forklift, crsne, or helicopter and transported in an array by the logistics ANSI/ISO standards to permit stacking and shipment. It has a maximum gross weight of approximately 10,000 the user. It is capable of being arrayed in pairs to form an 8'x8'x20' configuration for adaptability to container-This is a reusable, metal, cargo carrier with framing members, corner posts, and fittings constructed to trailer.

The 8'x8'x10' frame is especially required to house the 600 gph reverse asmosis water purification unit during operation and movement. Additionally, it will house odd-sized, odd-shaped material, such as engines, and other equipment components and assemblies.

Engineering development was completed during FY80 and the frame has been certified for the marine mode according to ANSI/150 standards.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Shipping Frame 8'x8'x10' Unit Cost: 4.0	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
 RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated 		Not applicable	ole						
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	470	384 415	472 548	472 582	472	432	2,702 3,225	766 799	3,366
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated		None Required	equired	1					7714
4. OMMCR - Cost φ Cost Escalated		None Required	equired	1					
Cuantity	127*	96	118	118	118	108	685	166	851
Spares and Repair Parts		None Required	equired	;					3
1st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	7 10	15	59 18	26 15	13	120 71	30	150 104
Documentation		Completed	red						
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	ł	ľ	2	-	42	12	09	4	79
*In FY82-Additional procurement of 51 frames	ent of 51 frames		副189K. Total procurement is 178 frames 過659K .	rement is	178 frame	s @659K.			

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

. Shipping Frame 4'x6 2/3'x8' (SIXCON)

NARRATIVE JUSFIFICATION

This is a reusable, metal, cargo carrier with framing members, corner posts, and fittings constructed to ANSI/ISO standards to permit stacking and shipment. It has a maximum gross weight of approximately 10,000 pounds and is capable of housing a variety of material. The frame will possess fixtures necessary to seat and restrain equipment during movement, including unserviceable, repairable items retrograded for repair and return to the user. It is capable of being arrayed up to an 8'x8'x20' configuration for adaptability to containerships. frame may be handled by forklift, crane, or helicopter and transported in an array by the logistics trailer.

The 4'x6 2/3'x8' frame is especially required to house water and fuel storage modules and associated equipment. Additionally, it will house and restrain soil stabilization, firefighting, electric power generation, and air conditioning equipment, and associated accessories, or similar material, during movement in a number of operational situations. Engineering development was completed during FY80 and the frame has been certified for the marine mode according to ANSI/ISO standards.

|--|

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY	Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)	
FLS	2.500	,

Shipping Frame 4'x6 2/3'x8' Unit Cost: 2.24	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
l. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	Z	ot applicable	ole						
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	11	1,050	1,107	468 613	641 879	3,266 4,076	5,777 9,156	9,043 13,232
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	ì	None Required	equired	ļ					
4. OMMCR - Cost p p	i	None Required -	equired	1					
S. Quantity	i	1	694	767	209	286	1,458	2,579	4,037
Spares and Repair Parts	ì	None Required -	equired	;					
Ist Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	11	1 1	28 30	52	17	97	552 292	979
Documentation	ľ	;	90	:	:	;	8	:	90
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	;	1	1	;	11	31	42	273	315

SHELTER SUBSYSTEM

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

. Shelter 60'x128'

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

functions and the other with roll-up end doors for use in supply and storage operations. The entire building is shelter is shipped in eight 8½'x8'x40' flatracks meeting ANSI/ISO standards. Except for a lifting crane and a hinged preassembled sections which permit erection and dismantling on a prepared site. The shelter has two configurations—one with sliding end doors providing a 58-foot wide by 20-foot opening for aircraft maintenance double-wall insulated and prewired with convenience outlets and lighting. In its disassembled transport mode, the This knockdown panel shelter, normally used as a hangar, is a prefabricated steel building constructed of forklift, erection will not require special tools or equipment.

6

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	Shelter 60'x128' Unit Cost: 176.4	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
	1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	!	Completed	ted						
	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	10,055 10,879	7,938 9,216	2,117 2,610	4,586 6,005	4,057	28,753 34,272	706	29,459 35,415
	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	301 347	402 492	466 606	593 808	1,762 2,253	2,447	4,209
8-	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	138 169	138 180	138 188	414 537	943	1,357
25	Quantity	ţ	57	45	12	26	23	163	7	167
	Spares and Repair Parts	l	1	101	233	145	80	655	214	577
	1st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	428 417	914 376	47	687 254	2,076 1,099	253 196	2,329
	Documentation	;	!	1	1	;	ŧ	;	1	;
	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	I	l	91	556	30	119	510	1,187

ITEM NOMENCLATURE 10. Shelter 32'x73'

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This knockdown panel shelter, normally used as either a maintenance or supply storage facility, is a prefabricated steel building constructed of hinged preassembled sections which permit erection and dismantling on a graded site. The shelter has two configurations—one with roll-up end wall doors for use in supply and storage operations and the other with four 15-foot by 12-foot roll-up doors on one side wall providing four maintenance bays. The entire building is double-wall insulated and prewired with convenience outlets and lighting. In its disassembled transport mode, the shelter is shipped in five 8'x8'x20' flatracks meeting ANSI/ISO standards. Each loaded flatrack can be externally lifted by the CH 53E helicopter. Except for a lifting crane and a forklift, erection will not require special tools or equipment.

10

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Shelter 32'x73' Unit Cost: 59.8	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
 RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated 		Completed	ted						
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	3,577 5,577	3,349	2,033 2,360	2,153 2,655	4,724 6,186	1 1	15,836 18,402	; ;	15,836 18,402
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	84 90	116 134	134 164	199 259	328 447	861 1,094	984	1,845
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	23	91 105	130 159	130 169	139	513 647	417	930
2. Quantity	09	99	34	36	79	1	265	!	265
Spares and Repair Parts	•	36	88	71	53	8	329	88	418
1st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	11	231 142	181 128	92 83	43	559	1,106	11	1,106
Documentation	1	•	ŧ	!	:	:	:	;	!
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B		\$	109	59	90	77	285	331	616

The second of th

ITEM NOMENCLATURE 11. Shelter 20'x33'

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This knockdown panel shelter, normally used as a unit storage facility, is a prefabricated steel building constructed of hinged preassembled sections which permit erection and dismantling on a graded site. The shelter has a single configuration with a 10-foot square equipment door on one end wall and a personnel door on the other disassembled transport mode, the shelter is shipped in two 8'x8'x20' flatracks meeting ANSI/ISO standards. Each loaded flatrack can be externally lifted by the CH 53D helicopters. Except for a small crane and a forklift, end wall. The entire building is double-wall insulated and prewired with convenience outlets and lighting. erection will not require special tools or equipment.

1

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	POM 83	Outyear	Cost/ Qty
	C0	ompleted	1 8						
- Cost Cost Escalated	3,311 3,311	1,923 2,081	1,045 1,213	564 695	1,003	627 860	8,473 9,473	1 1	8,473 9,473
- Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	85 92	112	143 175	143 186	173 236	656 818	573	1,229
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	14 15	45	45	62 81	62 85	228 288	186	414
	158	92	20	27	48	30	405	ł	405
oair Parts	ı	33	70	40	23	19	185	34	219
n Transporta- ase A ase B	11	262 115	86 83	17	18 18	46	441 258	90 26	531 284
_									
n Transporta- ase B	1	:	160	40	11	:	211	11	282
	4. UMMCR - Cost Escalated Cost Escalated Guantity Spares and Repair Parts 1st Destination Transportation - Case B Documentation 2nd Destination Transportation - Case B	alated ta-	alated 158 ta 16 16 17 17 17 18-	ta- 14 158 92 15 15 15 33 33 115 115	ta- 14 45 158 52 158 92 50 33 70 262 98 115 63	ta- 14 45 45 158 92 50 27 33 70 40 115 115 115 115 116 40	ta- 14 45 45 62 81 158 92 50 27 48 33 70 40 23 35 70 40 23 rta- 115 63 19 18 rta- 115 63 11 11	ta- 158 92 55 62 62 62 62 70 48 30 70 40 27 48 30 70 40 23 19 33 70 40 23 19 115 63 19 18 46 76 115 63 19 11 160 40 11 160 40 11 160 40 11 -	ta- 14 45 45 62 62 228 158 92 55 51 48 30 405 33 70 40 23 19 185 262 98 17 18 46 441 115 63 19 18 45 258 160 40 11 211

the state of the s

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

12. Shelter 8'x8'x20' Knockdown

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This modular shelter is one of the four small shelters designed to eliminate the proliferation of shelter types and their respective material support requirements. It will provide requisite mobility and intermodal transport and significantly improve the habitability and environmental protection in the field for personnel and sophisticated two side walls, and two end walls—one with a 36 inch single door and the other with four 16" access panels and an maintenance and repair, medical aid, staff operations, shop spares, etc. Total shelter weight is 3850 pounds and equipment. It is constructed in accordance with ANSI/ISO standards and includes a deck, four corner posts, a roof, when four units are stacked for shipment, total shipping square is 160 square feet and total shipping cube is 1280cubic feet. Construction is of fiberglass reinforced plywood and aluminum skin with a paper honeycomb core. The shelter is prewired with convenience outlets and lighting and can be erected in fifteen minutes. This shelter can be emergency exit. It is designed to meet a variety of shelter needs including those associated with material storage, complexed with other shelters of the system either directly or through the use of a joining corridor.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Shelter 8'x8'x20' KD Unit Cost: 28.4	ВУ82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	79 79	50 54	62 72	64 19	64 83	60 82	367		367
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	4,031 4,031	11,956 12,936	24,367 28,290	8,832 10,890	12,524 16,394	18,318 25,121	80,028 97,662	93,607 143,647	173,635 241,309
3. UMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	!!	101	379 437	857 1,050	1,099	1,466	3,902 5,024	11,312	15,214
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	! !	17	80 92	315 386	331 431	331 451	1,074	2,989	4,063
Quantity	142	421	858	311	441	645	2,818	3,296	6,114
Spares and Repair Parts	1	39	174	412	453	263	1,341	3,056	4,397
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	48 30	155 91	406 195	97 78	302 123	975	1,576 870	2,551 1,357
Documentation	800	1	!	ł	;	ì	800	1	800
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	1	22	76	229	35	362	166	1,353

ITEM NOMENCLATURE 13. Shelter 8'x8'x20' Rigid/GP

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This rigid framed shelter is one of the small shelters designed to eliminate the proliferation of shelter types and their respective material support requirements. It will provide requisite mobility and intermodal transport and significantly improve the habitability and environmental protection in the field for personnel and sophisticated equipment. It is constructed in accordance with ANSI/ISO standards and has a single configuration involving a removable 36 inch single entry door at one end, a removable wall with four 16" access panels and an emergency exit at the other end, and removable side wall panels. It is designed to meet a variety of shelter needs including fotal shelter weight is 3,850-pounds, total shipping square is 160 square feet, and total shipping cube is 1,280 cubic feet. Construction is of fiberglass reinforced plywood and aluminum skin with paper honeycomb core. The shelter tools. This shelter can be complexed with other shelters of the system either directly or through the use of a those associated with material storage, maintenance and repair, medical aid, staff operations, shop spaces, etc. is prewired with convenience outlets and lighting. Except for a forklift, emplacement will not require special joining corridor.

· 13

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	Shelter 8'x8'x20' R1GID/GP Unit Cost: 30.7		BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
	1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	calated	20 05	47	09	60	09	60	337		337
	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated		3,429 3,429	13,109 14,184	29,411 34,146	18,205 22,447	15,197 19,899	14,920 20,461	94,271 114,566	51,945	146,216
	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	calated	: :	88 88	. 417	1,021 1,251	1,471 1,914	1,889 2,575	4,880 6,309	10,740	15,620
B-2	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	calated	: :	20	78 90	356 436	452 588	454 619	1,360	3,146	4,506
33	Quantity		111	427	958	593	495	486	3,070	1,692	4,762
	Spares and Repair Parts	ø	!	34	183	465	634	338	1,684	2,000	3,684
	lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	orta-	1 1	48	136 92	458 232	159	312 135	1,113	847 476	1,960 1,069
	Documentation		943	ţ	1	ŀ	3	ŀ	943	;	243
	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	orta-	1	1	28	59	257	113	457	627	1,084

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

Shelter 8'x8'x20' EMI

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This rigid framed EMI shelter is one of the four small shelters designed to eliminate the proliferation of sophisticated equipment. It is constructed in accordance with ANSI/ISO standards and has a single configuration shelter is designed like the 8'x8'x20' rigid shelter with the addition of shielding material. It will meet a variety of needs, but will be primarily used in support of applications requiring the attenuation of interferring sources of electronic and magnetic fields. Total shelter weight is 4,410 pounds, total shipping square is 160 square feet, and total shipping cube is 1,280 cubic feet. The shelter has an aluminum extrusion roof and walls and aluminum frame The floor's fiberglass core is covered by an aluminum outer skin and a 1-inch plywood inner skin. This rigid structure has no removable walls, is prewired with convenience outlets and lighting, and all openings incorporate shelter types and their respective material support requirements. It will provide requisite mobility and intermodal with a single entry doorway at one end and four 16" access panels and an emergency exit at the other end. The EMI flooring. The roof and walls have aluminum outer skins, ‡-inch plywood inner skins, and a polyurethane foam core. radiation suppressed closures. Except for a forklift, emplacement will not require special tools. This shelter can transport and significantly improve the habitability and environmental protection in the field for personnel be complexed with other shelters of the system either directly or through the use of a joining corridor.

14

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

The second of th

Shelter 8'x8'x20' EMI Unit Cost: 36.2	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
l. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	75	70 76	75	75	75 98	75 102	445 530	1 1	445
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	2,038 2,038	1,195 1,293	2,462 2,858	3,403 4,196	507 664	11	9,605 11,049	434 703	10,039 11,752
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	46 50	73 84	127 156	207 269	214 292	667 851	998	1,533
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	15 16	22 25	42 51	64 83	06 99	209	267	476
Quantity	56	33	89	76	14	1	265	12	772
Spares and Repair Parts	1	20	43	77	73	57	237	34	172
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	25 14	16	35 18	50 24	9 4	132	9 4	138
Documentation	96	1	;	:	;	ţ	96	ł	96
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	;	14	6	20	30	73	5	78

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

Shelter 8'x8'x10' EMI

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

covered by an aluminum outer skin and a 1-inch plywood inner skin. This rigid structure has no removable walls, is with a single entry doorway at one end and four 16" access panels and an emergency exit at the other end. This aluminum outer skins, 4-inch plywood inner skins, and a polyurethane foam core. The floor's fiberglass core is This rigid framed EMI shelter is one of the four small shelters designed to eliminate the proliferation of transport and significantly improve the habitability and environmental protection in the field for personnel and sophisticated equipment. It is constructed in accordance with ANSI/ISO standards and has a single configuration EMI shelter is similar in design to the larger version and will meet a variety of needs. Its primary use will be in support of applications requiring the attenuation of interferring sources of electronic and magnetic fields. Total The shelter has an aluminim extrusion roof and walls and aluminum frame flooring. The roof and walls have for a forklift, emplacement will not require special tools. This shelter can be complexed with other shelters of the shelter types and their respective material support requirements. It will provide requisite mobility and intermodal shelter weight is 2,835 pounds, total shipping square is 80 square feet, and total shipping cube is 640 cubic feet. prewired with convenience outlets and lighting, and all openings incorporate radiation suppression closures. Except system either directly or through the use of a joining corridor.

15

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

, ,	Shelter 8'x8'x10' EMI Unit Cost: 26.3	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
7	l. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	75 57	70	75 87	75	75 98	75 102	445 530	11	445 530
.4	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1,406 1,406	5,918 6,403	5,418 6,290	8,495 10,474	552 723	11	21,789 25,296	4,103 6,116	25,892 31,412
l= 3	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	42 45	164 189	285 349	482 627	487	1,460	1,560	3,020
B-3	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	55 63	97 119	155 202	157 214	798 298	503	<u></u>
	Guantity	53	225	206	323	21	i	828	156	984
37	Spares and Repair Parts	1	14	80	145	170	134	543	127	029
•	lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	10	72	61	105	3	252 126	46 25	298 151
_	Documentation	218	i	ŀ	1	!	ł	218	ł	218
(4)	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	1	2	40	34	59	138	29	167

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

16. Joining Corridor 7'x7'x11'

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

support requirements. It will improve the habitability and environmental protection in the field for personnel and shelters of the system. The corridor can be collapsed for shipment. In this mode, it measures 11" in height, 7'2" in width, and 11' in length. In its transport mode, total corridor weight is 590 pounds, total shipping square is 79 The joining corridor is designed to eliminate the proliferation of shelter types and their respective material sophisticated equipment. The joining corridor can be used for complexing shelters and is compatible with all square feet, and total shipping cube is 73 cubic feet. Erection requires no special tools.

16

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	Joining Corridor 7'x7'x11' Unit Cost: 13.1	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
	l. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	20 20	20	20 23	20 25	20 26	20 27	120 143	1 1	120 143
	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	312	3,734 4,040	7,506 8,714	3,354 4,135	2,293 3,002	2,332 3,198	19,531 23,401	13,821 21,248	33,352 44,649
	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	9	102 118	246 301	329 428	394 537	1,080	2,337	3,417
8-	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1.1	1 1	17 20	96 118	111 145	112	336 436	701	1,037
39	Quantity	24	285	573	256	175	178	1,491	1,055	2,546
	Spares and Repair Parts	ł	ĸ	42	130	147	59	381	697	850
	1st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	17	49	14 8	20	101 52	85 49	186 101
	Documentation	195	ł	;	. !	1	1	195	i	195
	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	•	1	8	9	7	22	54	91

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

17. Appointments

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

Shelter appointments include tables, chairs, and other built-in equipment that are used in support of the shelter's use. Appointments differ with function and must be designed, procured, and, in some cases, installed prior to the shelter's issue.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

The second secon

						* *				
	Shelter Appointments Unit Cost: Varies	BY82	FY83	F Y 84	FY85	 FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
	 RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated 	10	100	10	10 12	10	10 14	60	11	60 72
	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated							r		
	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated									
B-	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated									
-41	Guantity	•	Technic	Technical and physical characteristics to be determined	sical chara	acteristics	to be dete	rmined		
	Spares and Repair Parts									
	lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B									
	Documentation									
	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B									

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

17a. Small Shelter Complexing Kit

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

knockdown and rigid shelters may be directly complexed, without the use of a joining corridor, into an array up to The complexing kit consists of a set of external flashings, interior covers, and floor plates which provde a weathertight seal along roof, vertical columns, and floor joints to permit direct complexing of a knockdown or rigid shelter with similar shelters both side-by-side and end-to-end. By removing side panels and door-end panels, two shelters long (40 feet) by any number of shelters wide (multiples of 8 feet).

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Shelter Camplexina Kits								Total	Total
Unit Cost: 4.6	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	POM Outyear	Cost/ Qty
 RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated 	Ì	Not Required	quired						
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	309 309	2,047 2,215	4,186 4,860	2,088	1,969	2,539	13,138	12,209	25,347
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated		Not Applicable -	olicable	. !				10,04	74,865
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	***	Not Applicable -	olicable	ı					
Guantity	71	445	910	454	428	552	2.860	7,654	7 5
Spares and Repair Parts		Not Applicable	licable	i					****
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B									
Documentation	131	ł	1	;	1	1	131	;	181
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B								ļ	TC .

MOTOR TRANSPORT SUBSYSTEM

The second section is a district of the Constitution

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

18. High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicle (HMMWV)

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This vehicle will be approximately 6 feet wide and will be no more than 7 feet high and 14 feet long. A common chassis will be capable of accepting modules and special body types including the TOW launcher, communications equipment, ambulance body, and a utility body. It will also be capable of accepting a ballistic a.. or kit for crew protection. The basic vehicle will weigh not more than 4,800 pounds and will be powered by a The payload capacity of the vehicle will be 2,500 diesel engine which will meet air pollution standards in effect at the time of type classification. A major feature of this two-axle, four-wheel-drive vehicle will be its high off-road mobility, coupled with excellent highway performance at speeds up to 60 miles per hour. pounds

initiated by the Army in response to a joint service requirement. The overall HMMWV program is currently at a A new development program has been Priority has been Prototype vehicles in this payload and performance range have been evaluated by the Marine Corps. Howassigned to development and production of the weapons carrier model to meet an urgent requirement for standstill pending Congressional approval of U.S. Army's reprogramming of RDT&E funds. ever, the Army program for development of this vehicle was suspended. replacement of the present M151A1 TOW vehicles.

18

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

AMMA			}				Total	Total	Total
Unit Cost: 22	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	POM 83	Outyear	Oty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	350 350	300 324	200 231	100	125	150 205	1,225	: :	1,225
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	10,780 10,780	73,300 79,311	51,100 59,327	46,400 57,211	49,700 65,077	21,494 29,477	252,774 301,183	; ;	252,774 301,183
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1,093	7,653 8,828	12,138 14,869	15,076 19,612	16,480 22,461	52,440 66,947	49,440	101,880
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	322 371	322 394	810 1,054	3,043 4,147	4,497 5,966	16,866	21,363
Quantity*	414*	2,912	2,075	1,790	1,812	116	086'6	1	9,980
Spares and Repair Parts	1	182	1,292	1,666	1,203	1,101	5,444	2,126	7,570
1st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	133	2,667 1,251	1,812 885	1,878 891	6,490 3,173	1,588	8,078 4,346
Documentation	12,639	;	!	!	;	!	12,639	;	12,639
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	1	;	79	1,609	1,079	2,767	1,452	4,219

B-45

*FLS quantity only. Total FY82 procurement is 656 vehicles at 16.5M. FY82 MPS requirement is 242 vehicles (72 TOW: Unit cost 27.5K; 170 Utility: Unit cost 22K) FY82 MPS - 5.72 M. FY83-FY86 procurements reflect funding contained in the FY82 Budget submit. The TOW/Utility breakout is resident in LME. FY87 quantities are recommended programming for utility vehicle to reach a 9,980 vehicle 10 not including MPS requirements.

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

19. Heavy High Mobility Tactical Truck (HHMTT)

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This vehicle is required to haul heavy cargo, tow artillery weapons, and transport personnel in the combat and combat support units of the Fleet Marine Force. This 5-ton truck is 116 inches high, reducible to 91.25 inches for shipping. It's overall width is 97.5 inches and overall length is 307.25 inches. It has a curb weight of 12,546 pounds and carries a payload of 10,000 pounds cross country and 20,000 pounds on highway. It has the capability of towing 22,000 pounds cross country and 30,000 pounds on highway. This diesel-powered, automatic transmission, 6x6 vehicle will replace all models of 2½-ton and hour, a fording capability of 30 inches without an adaptive kit and has a cruising range in excess of 350 miles with 5-ton cargo trucks, wreckers, and dump trucks now in service. It has a highway speed capability of 54 miles per onboard fuel.

Pending long-term development of a true HHMTT which will meet all cross country and highway performance and transportability requirements, the M939 series 5-ton truck was selected by the Marine Corps. Four body styles are needed to fill mission requirements. The dominant model will be the dropside cargo model. Smaller quantities of the long wheel base model, the medium wrecker, and the dump truck will be procured. As an interim measure, some truck tractor models will be procured in order to utilize some newly acquired semitrailer refuelers and to fill immediate needs.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

HHMTT Unit Cost: 65.6	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
 RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated 	2 2	1 1	11	1	50	50	102	1:	102
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	30,250 30,250	31,750 34,354	41,131	59,696 73,605	11	1 1	162,827	1 1	162,827
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	2,582 2,781	4,629 5,340	4,786 5,863	4,786 6,226	4,786	21,569 26,733	14,358	35,927
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	210 242	2,152 2,636	4,487 5,837	4,487 6,115	11,336	13,461	24,797
Quantity	*65*	484	627	910	1	1	2,513	ł	2,513
Spares and Repair Parts	1	949	1,061	975	992	472	4.146	240	717,47 V 686
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	5,187	2,015 1,060	1,164	1,688	1 1	10,054	} !!	10,054
Documentation	8,141	ŧ	1	i	:	i	8,141	!	141,0
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B 4,144 1,014 5,158	į	†	4,144	1,014	1	1	5,158	;	5.158

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

19a. Heavy High Mobility Tactical Truck (Wrecker)(M936)

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This item of equipment is mounted on the M939 5-ton truck chassis. Is is compatible with other 5-ton trucks in the tactical vehicle fleet, thereby realizing a reduction in spare parts, reduced training and maintenance requirements, and fuel compatibility. The M936 wrecker is 111.9 inches high (reducible to 91.25 inches), 97.5 inches wide, and 362.25 inches long. It has a curb weight of 36,129 pounds.

19а

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

HHMTT (Wrecker) Unit Cost: 124.7	ВY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	ated	1	;		Included in Item 19-	Item 19		1	:
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	8,730 sted 8,730	10,724 11,603	5,237 6,080	1 1	1 1	1 1	24,691 26,413	1 1	24,691 26,413
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	rted	688 741	1,077	1,077	1,077	1,077	4,996 6,171	3,231	8,227
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	Ited	1 1	300 346	539 660	539 701	539 735	1,917 2,442	1,617	3,534
6 Quantity	69*	98	42	}	:	!	197	;	197
Spares and Repair Parts	1	172	305	197	54	6	737	90	827
1st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	!!	532 270	777 305	131	: :	1 1	1,440	1 1	1,440
Documentation	1,235	}	;	1	;	:	1,235	;	1,235
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	;	l	283	492	:	1	775	ł	775

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) Planning

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

19b. Heavy High Mobility Tactical Truck (Extra Long Wheelbase (XLWB)(M928)

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This item is mounted on the M939 5-ton truck chassis. It is compatible with other 5-ton trucks in the tactical vehicle fleet, thereby realizing a reduction in spare parts, reduced training and maintenance requirements, and fuel compatibility. The vehicle will be used primarily for transporting shelters associated with the Improved - HAWK missile system. The M928 is 116 inches high (reducible to 91.25 inches for shipping), 97.5 inches wide, and 404.33 inches in length. It has a curb weight of 25,588 pounds.

9

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
 RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated 		U <u>I</u>	Included in item 19	tem 19					
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	3,975 3,975	4,637 5,017	4,490 5,213	2,502 3,085	1 1	: :	15,604 17,290	1 1	15,604 17,290
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	318 342	559 645	771 944	830 1,080	830 1,131	3,308 4,142	2,490	5,798
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	130 150	277	330 429	330 450	1,067	066	2,057
Quantity	* 54	63	19	34	;	ł	212	;	212
Spares and Repair Parts	ł	79	154	164	101	28	975	70	965
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	147 140	611 155	304 167	88 62	1 1	1,150	11	1,150
Documentation	780	1	;	;	;	;	780	;	780
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	1	20	466	149	25	099	:	099

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) Planning

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

19c. Heavy High Mobility Tactical Truck (Dump Truck)(M929)

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This item is mounted on the M939 5-ton truck chassis. It is compatible with other 5-ton cargo trucks in the tactical vehicle fleet, thereby, realizing a reduction in spare parts, reduced training and maintenance requirements and fuel compability. The vehicle will be used primarily by division engineer battalions for earth moving projects.

The M929 is 117.5 inches high (reducible to 91.25 inches for shipping), 97.5 inches wide, and 273 inches in length. It has a curb weight of 23,838 pounds.

19c

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	HHMTT (Dump)						Total	POM	Total Cost/	Total
	Unit Cost: 75.8	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	POM 83	Outyear	Qty
	1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated		uj	Included in item 19	item 19	<u>.</u>				
	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	2,047 2,215	3,942	7,049 8,691	1 1	1 1	13,038 15,483	1 1	13,038 15,483
	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	152 175	467 572	600 781	600 818	1,819 2,346	1,800	3,619
B-:	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	; ;	200 260	200 273	400	009	1,000
53	Quantity	*	27	52	93	:	;	172	:	172
	Spares and Repair Parts	1	1	38	108	145	89	359	90	604
	lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	54 53	368 128	237 195	11	659 376	; ;	659 376
	Documentation	652	1	;	1	:	;	652	:	652
	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	I	1	1	14	256	42	312	ŀ	312
	*FV82 Procurement for MPS 31 vehicles at 2 4M	hicles at 7 /	N.							

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

0. Medium Prime Mover

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

with the logistics trailer to replace some of the 5-ton trucks in tactical units and many of the truck-tractors presently used for heavy lifts and towing of various trailers. It will haul shelters and containers within its payload highway conditions and will be able to operate in 30 inches of water and at temperatures ranging from -25 9 F to will be standardized dimensionally for transportability to fit within an 8'x8'x20' envelope. It will be used primarily limit. It will be capable of a sustained highway speed of 45 miles per hour. It will have a range of 300 miles under This diesel-powered vehicle will have the capability to tow the logistics trailer with a $12rac{1}{2}$ -ton payload. It +120°F without adaptive kits.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	Medium Prime Mover Unit Cost: 64.2	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
i .:	1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	v.	260 281	152 176	105 129	25 33	10 14	552.5 633.5	1 1	552.5 633.5
2. PMC	MC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1		1 1	8,153 10,053	8,346 10,928	8,988 12,326	25,487 33,307	9,245 13,252	34,732 46,559
3. 0	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	632 822	1,274	1,906 2,558	5,718	7,624
9- O	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated		11	1 1		; !	; ;	1 1	1,284	1,284
Quantity	ıtity	ŀ	1	1	127	130	140	397	144	541
Spar	Spares and Repair Parts	ł	1	:	;	158	283	441	1,013	1,454
lst Des tion -	lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	11	11	122 135	333 310	455 445	1,642 576	2,097 1,021
Doct	Documentation	1	1	;	1,737	i	}	1,737	1	1,737
2nd De tion -	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	1	1	1	1	9/	76	1,116	1,192

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

21. Heavy Prime Mover

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This diesel-powered vehicle will be dimensionally standard in order to enhance its transportability in the 5-ton truck tractors and all of the 10-ton truck tractors presently used for heavy lifts and towing of various The vehicle may be modified to accomodate the 65 ton equipment semitrailer. This vehicle will replace many of heavy semitrailers. It will have a sustained highway speed of 45 mph with rated towed loads and a range of 300 commercial ships. It will be available in a configuration that will enable it to tow the 22½ ton logistics trailer. miles under highway conditions.

21

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	Heavy Prime Mover Unit Cost: 109.3	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
	1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	250 250	.5	.5	1 1		1 2	253 255	11	253
	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	10,930 11,826	12,023 13,959	12,023 14,824	11,149	11,039	57,164 70,347	1 1	57,164 70,347
	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	831 959	1,705 2,089	2,579 3,355	3,147 4,289	8,262 10,692	9,441	17,703
B-5	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	236 322	236	3,147	3,383
7	Quantity	į	100	110	110	102	101	523	i	523
	Spares and Repair Parts	ł	1	208	380	393	380	1,361	917	2,137
	lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	126 139	309 294	957 326	303 283	1,695	227 221	1,922
	Documentation	, ,	2,858	ł	9	:	:	2,858	1	2,858
	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	;	:	75	35	45	755	15	770

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

22. Logistics Trailer (12.5-ton)

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This cargo trailer may be configured as either a semi-trailer or full trailer. It has a bed dimension of 8'x20'. The trailer will handle ANSI/ISO containers, shelters, breakbulk cargo, and such kit attachments as may be designed to haul troops or special purpose modules, with gross weights of $12rac{1}{2}$ tons. Suitable provisions will be stack of up to four trailers. These trail is offer the following advantages over the vehicles they replace: one vehicle class instead of several, standardized size compatible for intermodal shipment, fewer repair parts, reduced made to accommodate lifting and tie down of the fully-loaded vehicle and to permit its stacking in a single vertical training and maintenance requirements, and the adaptability to handle many load configurations.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated 2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated 3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated 4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated Guantity Spares and Repair Parts Ist Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	D102	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	POM Potyear	Cost/ Qty
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated 3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated 4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated Guantity Spares and Repair Parts 1st Destination Transportation - Case A Case B	.5 150 .5 162	100 116	58 71	20 26	5	333.5	; ;	333.5
Escalated Escalated arts sporta-	1 1	1 1	3,759	3,922 5,135	4,070 5,582	11,751	3,641 5,219	15,392 20,571
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated Quantity Spares and Repair Parts 1st Destination Transportation - Case A Case B	1 1	į t	1 1	133	268 365	401 538	1,203	1,604
Quantity Spares and Repair Parts 1st Destination Transportation - Case A Case B	1 1	! 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	; ;	270	270
	:	;	254	265	275	794	246	1,040
	1	1	:	99	120	186	344	530
	1 1	1 1	11	173	424 397	597 585	1,931	2,528
Documentation	;	ı	770	;	ł	077	1	770
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	!		1	;	91	91	1,317	1,408

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

23. Logistics Trailer (22.5-ton)

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This cargo trailer may be configured as either a semi-trailer or full trailer. Its bed dimension will be 8'x20'. The maximum load of the vehicle will be 22½ tons. Tandem operation with an additional fully-loaded trailer will be Suitable provisions will be made to accommodate lifting and tie down of the fully-loaded vehicle and to permit its A primary design feature of the trailer will be the capability to efficiently transport ANSI/ISO 81,181/201 containers. possible. Adaptive kits for handling of breakbulk cargo and modules will be incorporated into the trailer design. stacking in a single vertical stack of up to four trailers. These new trailers offer the following advantages over predecessor heavy transport vehicles: one vehicle class instead of several; fewer repair parts, reduced training and maintenance requirements; and the capability of transporting loaded 8'x8'x20' cargo containers, large shelter components, heavy breakbulk loads, and various modules.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

1. RDTAKE - Cost Escalated 65 15 1 1 1 68 2. PMC - Cost Escalated 65 1, 122 3,399 3,090 3,028 2,781 15,450 3. OMIMC - Cost Escalated 4. OMIMCR - Cost Escalated 5. J122 3,399 3,090 3,028 2,781 15,450 7. J18 243 353 427 1,141 1,281 7. J24 359 459 582 1,475 7. J28 313 879 582 1,475 8. OMIMCR - Cost Escalated 8. OMIMCR - Cost Escalated 9. OMIMCR - Cost Escalated 10. J24 34 429 429 429 10. J25 1,67 1,475 10. J26 1,475 10. J26 1,475 10. J27 1,471 1,78	Logis	Logistics Trailer (22.5 Ton) Unit Cost: 20.6	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
2. PMC Cost Escalated - 3,152 3,396 3,090 3,028 2,781 15,450 3. OMMC Cost Escalated - - 13,410 3,946 3,910 3,964 3,914 19,445 4. OMMCR - Cost Escalated -	1. RDT	kE - Cost Cost Escalated	65	2. 1	2.1	; ;		77	69 89	: :	69 89
3. OMMCR - Cost Escalated Cost Esca	2. PMC	- Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	3,152 3,410	3,399	3,090 3,810	3,028 3,964	2,781 3,814	15,450 18,944	1 1	15,450 18,944
Escalated	3. OMM	•	1 1	1 1	118 136	243 298	353 459	427 582	1,141	1,281	2,422
Quantity — 153 165 167 147 135 750 Spares and Repair Parts — — — 59 108 105 99 371 1st Destination Transportation Case A — — — — — 128 311 879 287 1,605 Documentation — 773 — — 773 — — 773 2nd Destination Transportation Case B — — 773 — — 773 — — 773 89 581 689		CR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	: 1	1 1	34 46	34 46	429	463
ta			1	153	165	150	147	135	750	ť	750
128 311 879 287 1,605 141 296 295 268 1,000 - 773 773 773	Spares ar	nd Repair Parts	1	1	59	108	105	66	371	170	541
- 773 777 773 775 789 789 789 789 789 789	lst Desti tion -	ination Transporta- Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	128 141	311 296	879 295	287	1,605	198 198	1,803 1,198
73 35 581 689	Documer	ntation	1	173	1	ł	;	1	517	;	511
	2nd Dest tion -	ination Transporta- Case B	1	1	;	73	35	581	689	34	723

ITEM NOMENCLATURE 24. Mobilizer/Transporter

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This item of equipment will be capable of transporting 40-foot flatracks that will house components of the large shelters. The equipment will be configured to maximize the use of ANSI/ISO fittings for the ease of loading transporter will be capable of being loaded in the cell of a container ship without modification. This vehicle along with the 12½ ton, 22½ ton and 65 ton ser..trailers and their associated prime movers, will comprise the major heavy provisions will be made to accomodate lifting and tie down of the fully loaded equipment. The mobilizer/ and unloading material. The mobilizer/transporter will be capable of being shipped by rail, ship and truck. logistics and combat service support transport capability in the FMF inventory.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Mobilizer Unit Cost: 16.3	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	140 140	75 81	75 87	25	25	20 27	360	1 1	360
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1,972 2,289	1 1	1 1	1 1	1,972 2,289	1 1	1,972 2,289
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	59 72	59 77	59 80	177	177	354
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	20 25	20 26	20 27	09 78	09	120
Quantity	;	;	121	ł	1	1	121	:	121
Spares and Repair Parts	ì	ł	;	39	30	1	70	12	82
1st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	1 1	398 185	1 1	1 1	398 185	1 1	398 185
Documentation	I	1	66	1	:	1	66	;	66
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	:	;	;	;	236	ŀ	236	1	236

ITEM NOMENCLATURE
25. Semitrailer (65-ton)

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

The 65-ton semitrailer will be procured in consonance with the Army's new or product-improved low bed trailers. It will be approximately 12½ feet wide, reducible to 10 feet. It will have a cargo bed 30 feet long, a deck height of 40 inches, and a ground clearance of 30 inches. It will be capable of at least 65 tons of payload capacity and sustained speeds of 25 mph on secondary improved roads. It will be used to transport tanks, LVTs, certain engineer equipment, and other heavy loads. The semitrailer will feature a winch and a cable to facilitate rapid front loading and unloading. It will suitable for shipment by air, rail, ship, and truck. This trailer, along with the 12½-ton, 22½-ton trailers, mobilizer/transporter and their associated prime movers, will comprise the major heavy logistic and combat service support transport capability in the FMF inventory.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Semiti	Semitrailer (65 Ton) Unit Cost: 57,3	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost	- Cost Cost Escalated			200 231	150 184	50 65	27 75	429	1 1	429
2. PMC	- Cost Cost Escalated	11	1 1	1 1	1 1	11	974	974	1 1	974
3. OMMC	- Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	84	84
4. OMMCR - Cost	- Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	27	27
Suantity		1	1	į	;	1	17	17	:	 71
Spares and Repair Parts	epair Parts	1	1	1	;	1	1	; ;	32	32
lst Destinatio tion - C	lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	11	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	107	107
Documentation	Uo	ţ	:	1	ì	1	67	67	} ;	49
2nd Destination tion -	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	:	;	;	;	1	;	63	63

MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT SUBSYSTEM

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

26. Rough Terrain Forklift, 4,000-lb

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

pound capacity, pneumatic-tire, rough terrain forklift capable of being helicopter transported for use in engineer support and combat engineer battalions, landing support companies, combat service support units, artillery units, units and combat support units to clear landing zones of supplies and equipment and to load and unload combat vehicles and aircraft. It is also required for stuffing and unstuffing containers and, because of its dimensions (84" FY80-81 funding satisfied the modification requirements for all existing forklifts at a unit cost of \$4,720. The modification provided for the inclusion of a full side shift and extended mast height capability. This is a 4000and wing support groups. This model forklift is required for lifting and material handling tasks when it is neither feasible nor economical to use a forklift of greater capacity. It is required for use in direct support of combat advantages of the 4000-pound forklift are a greater lift capability while still being able to stuff/unstuff containers, high, 85" wide, maximum) will be the only forklift suitable for entering a standard 8'x8'x20' container. an anticipated reduction of maintenance requirements, and increased operating time.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	Rough Terrain Forklift (4000-1b) Unit Cost: 43.2	BY82 FY83	FY84	FY85	F Y 86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
	1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	Not Applicable	oplicable	•					
	2. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	Procurement Completed -	ement Com	pleted	ı				
	3. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	Forcas	Forcast by MCLB, Albany	, Albany –					
B-6	4. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated								
57	5. OMMCR - Cost Escalated								
	Quantity	Completed	eted						
	Spares and Repair Parts	Requir	Requirements stratified-forecast by MCLB, Albany	atified-for	ecast by M	CLB, Alban	· ×		
	lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B								
	Documentation								
	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B								

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

27. Rough Terrain Forklift, 6,000-lb

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

6,000 pound capacity, rough terrain forklift is required for use by combat support and combat service support units FY80 funding of \$2M provided for 41 forklifts which completed a multi-year buy totaling 545 forklifts. The for material handling across the beach and in supply areas. Additional funding of \$5.5M for 90 forklifts in FY82 will satisfy MPS requirements.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	Rough Terrain Forklift (6000-1b) Unit Cost: 77.9	BY82 FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
	l. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	Not Applicable	plicable	l					
	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated								
	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	Forecas	- Forecast by MCLB, Albany	, Albany -	1				
B-6	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated								
59	Quantity	Completed	ted						
	Spares and Repair Parts	Require	ments stra	tified—fo	recast by h	- Requirements stratified—forecast by MCLB Albany	Ác		
	Ist Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B								
	Documentation								
	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B								

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

28. Rough Terrain Forklift, 10,000-lb

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

The rebuild contract for existing forklifts was awarded to Terex during the 4th quarter of FY78. The rebuild consisted of improving the brake capability, increasing the wheel size, adding counterweights, mast modifications for side shift capability, and rollover safety bars. This 10,000-pound capacity, rough terrain, forklift will be used by combat engineer battalions and force service support groups. It is required for handling 10,000-pound containers and components of the expeditionary shelters. It will also be used for loading and unloading combat vehicles and landing craft, and handling large, heavy bundles of breakbulk cargo. The forklift attachment can be detached, and the basic machine's capability of handling a 2½- to 3-cubic yard general-purpose bucket can help fulfill the Marine Corps' scooploader requirement.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated 2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated 3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated 4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated 4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated Cost	Rough Terrain Forklift (10,0000-1b) Unit Cost: 125.9	BY82 FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
2. PMC - Cost Escalated 3. OMMC - Cost Escalated 4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated Guantity Spares and Repair Parts 1st Destination Transportation - Case A Case B Documentation 2nd Decination Transportation - Case B	l. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	Not Appl	icable						
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated 4. OMMCR - Cost Guantity Spares and Repair Parts 1st Destination Transportation - Case A Case B Documentation 2nd Decination Transportation - Case B			nent Comp	oleted	ţ				
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated Guantity Spares and Repair Parts 1st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B Documentation 2nd Decination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	Forcast t	y MCLB,	Albany	ŀ				
Spares and Repair Parts Spares and Repair Parts 1st Destination Transportation Case A Case B Documentation 2nd Decination Transportation tion - Case B	4. OMMCR -								
		Complete	ps						
1st Destination Transportation - Case A Case B Documentation 2nd Decination Transportation - Case B	Spares and Repair Parts	Requiren	ents stral	tified-fore	cast by M(CLB, Albar	Yı		
Documentation 2nd Decination Transportation - Case B	1st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B								
2nd Dewination Transporta- tion - Case B	Documentation								
	2nd Dewination Transportation - Case B								

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

.9. Rough Terrain Crane, 30-ton

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

dragline and clamshell bucket operations, diesel pile-driving, bridge and raft erection, and prefabricated building erection. It also is used to unload cargo onto the beach from beached landing craft. The Marine Aircraft Wing An FY78 procurement completed the initial acquisition of this crane. It is a heavy-lift (30-ton), rubber-tire, rough terrain, hydraulic crane with telescoping boom. The crane is required for general heavy-lifting tasks and for requires the crane for crash/rescue operations.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	Rough Terrain Crane (30-ton) Unit Cost: 179.9	BY82 FY83		FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
	1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	Ž	Not Applicable	cable	į					
	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated		ocurem	Procurement Completed	pleted	ı				
	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated		orcast by	Forcast by MCLB, Albany	Albany	1				
В-	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated									
73	Quantity		Completed	p						
	Spares and Repair Parts	R	equirem	ents stra	tified-fore	cast by M	Requirements stratified-forecast by MCLB, Albany	/1		
	lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B									
	Documentation									
	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B									

ITEM NOMENCLATURE 30. Container Handler

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

The need exists for a self-propelled container handler capable of efficiently transporting, transferring, and the limited capability of the LACH and the 30-ton rough terrain forklift to perform this task, program initiation to is purchasing 175 of the 50,000-pound front-end container handlers. Present planning calls for a Marine Corps stacking the large number of containers that are expected to flow into the container marshalling area. In view of provide equipment capable of fulfilling the requirements will begin in 1st quarter FY81. Currently, the U.S. Army procurement of 25 units in FY84.

30

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Container Handler Unit Cost: 225.7	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	250 250	100 108	28 82	35	30	30	495	1 1	495
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	5,643 6,552	1 1	1 1	11	5,643	; ;	5,643 6,552
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	244 299	244 317	244 333	732	732	1,464
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	! !	81 99	81 105	81 110	243 314	243	98 †
Quantity	1	:	25	;	;	:	25	;	25
Spares and Repair Parts	1	;	;	108	84	3	195	21	216
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	11	11		520 244	1 1	1 1	520 244	1 1	520 244
Documentation	1	1	282	:	;	ł	282	;	282
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	!	:	;	308	:	308	1	308

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

il. Lightweight Amphibious Container Handler (LACH)

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

The LACH is the latest version in the family of surf cranes. It is a tractor towed/pushed straddle-lift which is collapsible, as necessary, for transportability. Primary use of the LACH will be at the surfline to unload supplies arriving in 8'x8'x20' containers. The LACH will be used to offload these containers from LCMs and LCUs until the elevated causeway is operational and thereafter, in the event an additional offloading capability is required. The airfields. The LACH is of simple, rugged construction and has performed well during engineering testing in the LACH also can perform its unload-transfer function in the BSA and CSSA dump areas and at expeditionary beach environment.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	Lightweight Amphibious Container Handler (LACH) Unit Cost: 109.3	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
	1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	i	Not Applicable	plicable -						
	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	İ	Procurement Completed	ment Con	pleted					
	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	i	Foreca	st by MCL	- Forecast by MCLB, Albany					
B-	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated									
77	Quantity	į	Completed	eted	ſ					
	Spares and Repair Parts	į		ements sti	Requirements stratified-forecast by MCLB, Albany	ecast by N	ACLB, Alba	any		
	lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B									
	Documentation									
	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B									

SERVICE SUPPORT SUBSYSTEM

A. L. Discours of American State of the Control of

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

2. Bridging, Dry Gap

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

foot spans and 160-foot spans when employed with a cable reinforcing set. All MGB components can be handled by four- or six-man teams. They require no other equipment for erection and can be stored and transported in 8'x8'x20' ISO configured containers. The construction time of the MGB is approximately one-fourth that of the existing bridge sets. The new medium girder bridge offers several distinct advantages over the existing bridges: (1) elimination of transport and erection equipment, (2) reduction in construction time, (3) reduced logistics The medium girder bridge (MGB) is a two girder deck bridge which will replace the existing fixed highway bridge and the fixed floating bridge (see item 32). The dry gap MGB is capable of supporting Class 60 loads across 100support problems with one type of bridge in lieu of two, and (4) reduction in personnel. The unit cost includes one 102-foot bridge, two single story end of bridge supplements, three span junction sets, and straps and pallets for the bridge material.

32

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	Bridging, Dry Gap Unit Cost: 993	. BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Gty
	1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated			i	Completed	ted				
	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	18,872 18,872	17,874 19,340					36,746 38,212		36,746 38,212
	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	178 192	237 273	237 290	237 308	237 323	1,126 1,386	7117	1,837
R_7	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	79 91	79 97	79 103	79 108	316 339	237	553
0	Quantity	19	18	:	:	1	ŀ	37	;	;
	Spares and Repair Parts	1	357	555	225	16	16	1,169	48	1,217
	lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	! !	106	154	1 1	1 1	1 1	260 137	1 1	260 137
	Documentation	367	1	;	;	}	;	367	1	367
	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	•	1	47	96	}	ł	143	;	143

the state of the second

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

32a. Bridging Dry Gap MGB Erection Set

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

The erection set is used by personnel engaged in erecting the MGB dry or wet gap. Three sets are required per MAF to support the three platoons in the Bridge Company. The unit cost includes the basic set, six double story supplements, a 1/12 scale assembly instruction unit and straps, and pallets for the entire set.

32a

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

ľ	Bridging, Dry Gap MGB Erection Set Unit Cost: 329,1	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
.	 RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated 	;	!	1,	Completed	sted	;	1	:	:
7	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	3,291 3,291	2,304 2,493	2,633	1 1	1	1 1	8,228 8,841	1 1	8,228 8,841
~	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1	1	1,	Include 	Included in Item 32 	21	1	i	;
- 3 B-	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	ţ	1	1,	Include	Included in Item 32		1	!	1
	Quantity	10	7	8	1	1	ł	25		25
S	Spares and Repair Parts			ļ	Include	Included in Item 32	21			
1	lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	8 5	11 4	8 9	1 1	1 1	28 14	1 1	28 14
	Documentation			l	Include	Included in Item 32	2:			
2	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	•	1	~	7	~	1	15	1	15

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

32b. Bridging Dry Gap MGB Cable Reinforcing Set

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

The Cable Reinforcing Set is used to enable double story bridges of lengths from 100 ft. to 162 ft. to support class 60 loads. One set for each 200 feet of bridging will allow the construction of one of these bridges.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

1. RDT& Cost Escalated	Bridging, Dry Gap Cable Reinforcing Set Unit Cost: 248.7	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
Escalated 2,684 1,741 1,990 6,415 6,878	t	1	1	1,	. Complete 		1	!	i	l
Escalated — ——————————————————————————————————	ı	2,684 2,684	1,741 1,884	1,990 2,310	1 1	11	: :	6,415 6,878	1 1	6,415 6,878
Escalated — ——————————————————————————————————	•	1	1	1,	· Included i	n Item 32 		ŀ	İ	1
ta-	MMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	I	1	1,	· Included i	n Item 32 		ł	:	I
ta 8 11 9 13 13 13 15 -	ntity	10	7	æ	ŀ	:	;	25	;	25
Transporta-	es and Repair Parts			Ì	Included i	n Item 32	l			
Transporta- 3 7 5 15 15	Destination Transporta- n - Case A Case B	1 1	8 5	111	6 i 0	1 1	1 1	28 13	1 1	28
3 7 5	ımentation				Included i	n Item 32	ļ			
	Destination Transporta- n - Case B	1	1	~	7	2	1	15	ı	15

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

33. Bridging, Wet Gap

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

bridging dry gap. The medium girder bridge (MGB) type wet gap bridging equipment is in the advanced feasibility. Bridging, wet gap, will replace the M4T6 floating bridge. The deck portion of this bridge is identical to stage. An engineering contract has been let to determine the optimum method (container float, pontoon, pier, etc.) of conventing the dry gap bridge to a wet gap bridge.

33

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Unit Cost: TBD	BY82	FY83	, FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	260 260	365 394	390 451	525 644	565 736	500 683	2,605 3,168	11	2,605 3,168
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated		ype of Flot	ation Syst	em for the 	medium g	irder bridg 	e remains to l	Type of Flotation System for the medium girder bridge remains to be determined	
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	l	1	1	To be determined	nined	!	1	1	1
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	; ;	1 1	1 1	: !	1 1
Guantity	•	:	16	:	:	:	16	i	16
Spares and Repair Parts				To be determined -	nined	į			
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	1 1	129 67	1 1	11	129	1 1	129
Documentation	•	ł	;	1	ŀ	;	1	1	ľ
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B		1	1	1	. 70	1	70	;	70

B-85

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

34. Marine Corps Environment Controlled Medical System (MCEMS)

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

The Marine Corps Environment Controlled Medical System (MCEMS), as now configured, consists of five medical functional units (a surgical unit, an intensive care ward, a combined laboratory/pharmacy unit and a sterile preparation unit). The functional modules are to be established within standard Marine Corps addition to the above, modules will be configured to satisfy dental requirements and the following medical Expeditionary Shelter System (MCESS) components that adhere to ANSI/ISO configuration specifications. functions:

- (a) Emergency treatment unit
- (e) Patient wards

(d) EENT treatment unit

- (b) Receiving unit(c) Orthopedic treatment unit
- (f) Oral surgery unit

34

eve and

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

The second secon

1. RDT&E - Cost Escalated 2. PMC - Cost Escalated 3. OMMCR - Cost Escalated 4. OMMMCR - Cost Escalated 4. OMMMCR - Cost Escalated 5. OMMMCR - Cost Escalated 6. Ost Escalated 7. 400	ပိ	Marine Corps Environment Controlled Medical System (MCEMS) Unit Cost: 3,700	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
Cost Escalated 7,400 3,700 3,700 14,800 14,800 16,865 16,865 16,865 16,865 16,865 16,865 16,865 16,865 16,865 16,865 16,865 16,865 16,865 16,865 16,865 16,965 16,965 16,965 16,965 16,965 16,965 16,965 16,965 16,969 16,969 16,969 16,969 16,969 16,969 16,969 16,969 16,969 16,969 16,969 16,969 16,969 16,969 16,969 16,969 16,969 16,969	.	RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	184 184	103	119	132	132	20 89	720 835	11	720 835
3. OMM/CR - Cost Escalated C	2	1	11	7,400	3,700 4,296	3,700	1 1	11	14,800 16,865	1 1	14,800 16,865
4. OMMCR - Cost Escalated Co	~		1 1	1 1	222 256	333 408	333 433	333 454	1,221 1,551	666	2,220
Quantity — 2 1 1 — — 4 Spares and Repair Parts — — — 74 150 96 60 380 1st Destination Transportation — — — — 63 167 43 — 148 Documentation — — — 62 43 — 148 2nd Destination Transportation — — — — — — — — — — — — 148 —		i. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	11	1 1	111	111	222 295	333	555
74 150 96 60 380 24 63 167 43 273 62 43 43 148 Included in Shelter Documentation 14 127 141		Juantity	!	2	1	1	1	:	4	ŀ	7
	S	pares and Repair Parts	1	:	74	150	96	09	380	24	404
Included in Shelter Documentation	4	st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	63	167	43	11	273 148	1 1	273 148
14 127 141	٦	Occumentation			Ì	Include	d in Shelte	r Documen	tation		
	7	nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	1	;	14	127	l	141	i	141

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

5. Fuel/Water Storage Module

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This is one of the service support functions that can be configured to take advantage of the efficiency, flexibility, and usefulness of standard ANSI/ISO containers. Current fuel and water tanks come in many shapes, sizes, and capacities. Equally as significant, they all require dedicated transportation. The new equipment provides a portable fuel/water distribution capability designed on a modular concept that is compatible with ANSI/ISO standards. It will offer a standardized inventory, which is presently lacking, while eliminating the requirement for dedicated wheels with their attendant support costs. The modules are of SIXCON size (4'x6 2/3'x8') and can be handled individually or arrayed so that six of them comprise a conventional 8'x8'x20' envelope. The storage modules can be arrayed in conjunction with the fuel pump module. The modules can be used aboard commercial ships as well as in the field.

35

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Fuel/Water Storage Module Unit Cost: 7.6*	BY 82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
 RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated 	130	65	30 35	15	15 20	15 20	270 293	1 1	270 293
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	2,478 2,877	2,478 3,055	1,186 1,553	1,201	7,343	16,136 25,689	23,479 34,821
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	55 67	129 168	165 225	349 460	1,484	1,833
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	157	157
Quantity	1	!	326	326	156	158	996	2,123	3,089
Spares and Repair Parts	1	1	;	37	80	63	180	576	756
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	11	11	1 1	25 28	25	19 20	69	732 391	801 467
Documentation	I	i	1,174	;	ł	i	1,174	:	1,174
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	1	1	:	16	16	32	353	385

B-89

*Does not include 4"x6-2/3"x8" shipping frame.

ITEM NOMENCLATURE
36. Fuel Pump Module

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This is one of the service support functions that can be configured to take advantage of the efficiency, flexibility, and usefulness of standard ANSI/ISO containers. Current fuel tanks come in many shapes, sizes, and capacities. Equally as significant, they all require dedicated transportation. The new equipment provides a It will offer a standardized inventory, which is presently lacking, while eliminating the requirement for dedicated wheels with their attendant support costs. The module is of SIXCON size (4'x6 2/3'x8') and can be arrayed with five fuel storage modules to form a conventional 8'x8'x20' envelope. It will be usable aboard commercial ships as portable fuel distribution capability designed on a modular concept that is compatible with ANSI/ISO standards. well as in the field.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Fuel Pump Module Unit Cost: 7.5	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	100	60 65	20	10 12	10 13	10 14	210 227	11	210 227
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	398 462	345 425	1 1	; ;	743 887	1,928 3,080	2,671 3,967
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	11	11	21 27	21 29	53	157	210
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	!!	11	11	1 1	19	19
Quantity	i	ł	53	9†	;	ţ	66	257	356
Spares and Repair Parts	!	ŀ	1	∞	13	5	26	99	16
1st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	11	1 1	5 9	& &	1 1	13	113	126
Documentation	i	ł	134	1	ł	•	134	1	134
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	;	:	1	;	3	55	58	:	58

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

37. Water Purification System

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

impure fresh sources of water at the rate of 600 gallons per hour. The system will be housed in an ANSI/ISO 8'x8'x10' shipping frame and will be usable in a self-sufficient mode aboard commercial ships in support of This is one of the service support functions that can be configured to take advantage of the efficiency, flexibility, and usefulness of standard ANSI/ISO containers. This unit will replace three different types of water purification equipment currently in the Marine Corps inventory which have reached the end of their life expectancy. This new system is being designed to produce potable water for combat forces from salt, brackish, or embarked forces as well as in the field.

37

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Water Purification System Unit Cost: 157.3	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Gty
l. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	15 15	15	15	15	15	15	90 106	1 1	90 106
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	19,977 19,977	15,100 16,338	18,561 21,549	18,561 22,886	18,561 24,304	2,517 3,452	93,277 108,506	: :	93,277 108,506
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1,196 1,288	2,100 2,422	3,193 3,911	3,193 4,154	3,193	12,875 16,127	9,579	22,454
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	19 23	1,131	1,178	2,328 3,099	3,534	5,862
Quantity	127	96	118	118	118	16	593	:	593
Spares and Repair Parts	1	400	612	616	929	330	2,634	556	3,190
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	31 28	48	159	33	33	304 174	4 4	308 178
Documentation			ļ	Completed	eted				
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	;	6	12	118	:	139	ľ	139

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

38. Soil Stabilization Module (AMSS)

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This is one of the service support functions that can be configured to take advantage of the efficiency, modules which can be arrayed into an 8'x8'x20' configuration. It is used to apply resin, catalyst, and promoter to fiberglass matting which, after curing for 30 minutes, provides surfaces suitable for roadways, beach trackways, facing system comprised of a spray unit and ancillary equipment mounted within ANSI/ISO configured 4'x6 2/3'x8' flexibility, and usefulness of standard ANSI/ISO containers. The equipment is an advanced multipurpose suraircraft landing pads, or storage areas. It will be mounted on the $22\frac{1}{2}$ -ton logistics trailer when it is in use.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Soil Stabilization Module (AMSS) Unit Cost: 77	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Oty
1. RDT&E - Cost				!	Not Applicable	oplicable -			
Cost Escalated	1	;	1	1	1	1	1	:	1
2. PMC - Cost	:	1	1,155	1,155	231	ł	2,541	;	2,541
Cost Escalated	ł	;	1,341	1,424	302	1	3,067	1	3,067
3. OMMC - Cost	1	!	ŀ	65	111	1111	287	333	620
Cost Escalated	:	:	;	00	† †	171		•	!
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1		1 1	23	37 50	08 09	ur -	171
Quantity	:	;	15	15	~	1	33	ł	33
Spares and Repair Parts	;	;	1	22	40	24	98	22	108
lst Destination Transporta-	•	ļ	;	33	115	œ	156	:	453
	1	1	ł	32	45	· ~	82	ł	82
Documentation	1	:	127	1	1	;	127	:	127
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	;	1	1	6	77	98	;	98

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

39. Firefighting Equipment

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This firefighting equipment is needed to provide fire protection coverage at fuel dispensing facilities, maintenance shops, and ammunition storage sites. This equipment involves state-of-the-art commercial equipment to be adapted for Marine Corps use and will be capable of satisfying requirements in a high mobility scenario and will be operable in climatic extremes.

39

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Firefighting Equipment Unit Cost: 32	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	55 55	125	200 231	125 153	50 65	50	605 707	1 1	605 707
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	11	1 1	1 1	11	2,624 3,599	2,624 3,599	2,592 3,715	5,216 7,314
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	11	1 1	1 1	1 1	592	592
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	11	; ;	11	1 1		1 1	154	154
Quantity	1	.1	:	1	;	82	82	81	163
Spares and Repair Parts	!	;	!	:	;	1	;	187	187
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	11	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	88 49	88
Documentation	:	1	:	ł	1	52	52	ł	52
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	ı	1	:	1	1	;	1	45	45

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

40. Sanitation Unit

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

and usefulness of standard ANSI/ISO containers. Currently, there is no field head unit. This shortfall presents a This is one of the service functions that can be configured to take advantage of the efficiency, flexibility, high potential for noncombat casualties due to infectious disease. A low maintenance, zero-effluent sanitation facility to be provided in combat support areas will reduce this problem. The unit will require 5-10 kW of electrical power for operation and is designed for use in bunkers or ANSI/ISO configured shelters or containers. For ease in storing, handling, and assembling, the major components will be arranged in pallet-sized loads. The sanitation facility is a self-contained, closed-cycle unit utilizing incineration methods to dispose of waste matter. The units will be used to support personnel aboard commercial ships, as well as in the field.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Sanitation Unit Unit Cost: 6.3	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Oty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	53	60 65	80 92	50 61	50	50 68	343	11	343
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	5,878 6,824	5,878 7,248	1 1	1 1	11,756 14,072	1 1	11,756
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	351 430	482 627	482 657	1,315	1,446	2,761
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	11	11	161 209	161 219	322 428	483	805
Quantity	ł	:	933	933	1	1	1,866	}	1,866
Spares and Repair Parts	1	;	:	117	188	78	383	25	408
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	11	1 1	283 211	570 324	11	853 535	11	853 535
Documentation	1	i	588	1	1	;	288	1	588
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	1	!	!	110	293	403	;	403

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

41. Combined Laundry and Bath Unit

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This is one of the service support functions that can be configured to take advantage of the efficiency, flexibility, and usefulness of standard ANSI/ISO containers. Currently, separate bath and laundry units are primarily, for use in forward areas to support combat and combat support forces who do not have access to help to control disease-related noncombat casualties in addition to enhancing troop morale. The unit can be used utilized for all field applications. The new laundry and bath unit places the two functions into two 8'x8'x20' modules. The laundry and bath components can be operated independently of each other. It is intended, laundry and bath facilities. The new unit, by providing a facility for a quick shower and clean set of clothes, will to support troops aboard commercial ships as well as in the field.

functions which are separate facilities. The requirement for separate bulk laundry and bath/shower units have The laundry and bath unit, as described above, is supplemental to the existing bulk laundry and bath/shower been reduced to reflect the introduction of the combined laundry and bath unit discussed herein.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

1. RDT&E Cost Escalated 124 140 237 125 56 68 726		Combin-Bath L	Combined Laundry and Bath Unit (CLABU) Unit Cost: 136	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
2. PMC - Cost Escalated 4,263 5,196 9,461 - 9,461 9,461 - 9,461 9,461 - 9,461 9,461 - 9,461 9,461 - 9,461 9,461 - 9,461 9,461 9,461 - 9,461 9,461 - 9,461 - 9,461 9,461 - 1,07 - 9,461 - 9,461 - 9,461 - 9,461 - 9,461 - 1,07 9,461 - 1,07 9,461 - 1,07 9,461 - 1,07 1,08 - 1,07 1,08 <t< td=""><td></td><td>1. RDT&E .</td><td>- Cost Cost Escalated</td><td>124 124</td><td>140</td><td>237 274</td><td>125</td><td>50</td><td>50</td><td>726 835</td><td> 1</td><td>726</td></t<>		1. RDT&E .	- Cost Cost Escalated	124 124	140	237 274	125	50	50	726 835	1	726
ed 212 318 318 848 954 260 414 433 1,107 260 414 433 1,107 260 414 433 1,107 106 106 212 318 138 144 282 58 58 58 58			· Cost Cost Escalated		11	3,672 4,263	4,216 5,198	1 1	1 1	7,888 9,461	11	7,888
4. OMMCR - Cost Escalated Cost Escalated Cost Escalated Cost Escalated Cost Escalated Cost Escalated Cost Escalated Cost Escalated Cost Escalated Cost Escalated Cost Escalated Cost Escalated Cost Escalated Cost Escalated Cost Escalation Transportation - Case A Case B			. Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	11	1 1	212 260	318 414	318 433	848 1,107	954	1,802
Quantity 27 31 58 Spares and Repair Parts 71 126 57 254 20 2 1st Destination Transportation - Case A tion - Case B 20 62 47 Documentation - Case B 394 394 394 394 394 394 <td< td=""><td>B-1</td><td>4. OMMCR -</td><td>Cost Cost Escalated</td><td>1 1</td><td>11</td><td>1 1</td><td>1 1</td><td>106 138</td><td>106 144</td><td>212</td><td>318</td><td>530</td></td<>	B-1	4. OMMCR -	Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	11	1 1	1 1	106 138	106 144	212	318	530
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ωl	Quantity		1	!	27	31	ł	1	58	i	58
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Spares and Re	spair Parts	ì	;	ł	71	126	57	254	20	274
394 394 394 4 36		Ist Destinatio tion -	n Transporta- Sase A Sase B	1 1	; ;	1 1	20 20	62 27	1 1	82 47	11	82 47
4 36 40		Documentatio	ç	1	1	394	ŀ	1	!	394	1	394
		2nd Destinatic tion - C	on Transporta- ase B	1	1	;	;	7	36	40	;	40

A CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

42. Dump Module

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

dedicated 5-ton dump trucks. Consistent with the FLS objective of elimination of dedicated transportation, the dump trucks in force engineer battalions will be replaced by a ram ejection type dump module that will discharge and will be transportable by the 22.5-ton logistics trailer. The module will be adapted from commercial-type This is one of the service support functions that can be configured to take advantage of the efficiency, flexibility, and usefulness of standard ANSI/ISO containers. Current dumping operations are accomplished by loads horizontally. The dump module will have a load capacity of 20 tons, will be contained in a 4'x8'x20' module, units to meet Marine Corps specifications.

42

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Dump Module Unit Cost: 12.2	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	F Y 86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated		д д	208 240	150 184	10	10 14	380 453	1 1	380 453
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	11	1 1	720 943	708 971	1,428	1 1	1,428 1,914
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	38 52	38	234	272
4. OMMCR - Cost p Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	; ;	1 1	1 1	1 1	63	63
c Quantity	1	;	1	:	59	58	111	:	111
Spares and Repair Parts	1	;	;	;	ł	12	12	41	. 53
1st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	11	11	1 1	11	1 1	17	17 16	45 19	62
Documentation	•	ŀ	1	ł	71	ł	11	}	7.1
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	;	:	;	;	;	•	30	30

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

43. Refrigeration System (Refrigeration Box)

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

eliminate the need for time-consuming field erection, hookup, and temperature stabilization. The units can be The refrigeration system is a service support module that combines the flexibility, efficiency, and usefulness of container standardization. Each combination chill and freeze box includes a rigid, unitized, insulated box with ANSI/ISO fittings and a separate modular refrigeration unit. The size of the combined configuration is 8'x8'x10'. The 350-cubic-foot capacity units will replace all existing chill and freeze boxes. The rigid modules used aboard commercial ships as well as in the field.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Refrigeration System Unit Cost: 12.3	ВY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	\$ 2	~ · · ·	· ~ •	6.2	2	5	30	1 1	30
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1,107	738 799	2,128 2,471	2,325 2,867	1,082 1,417	910 1,248	8,290 9,902	! !	8,290 9,902
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	2	None Required	pa	I	1	ŀ	l
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	11	Ž	None Required	pa	1	1	i	!
Quantity	06*	09	173	189	88	74	674	ŀ	674
Spares and Repair Parts	8	20	31	55	80	09	246	52	298
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	9 9	44	27 25	86 27	01 10	133	8 8	141 80
Documentation	83	1	;	1	1	ł	83	ł	83
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	;	2	2	5	61	11	;	11

B-105

*In FY82 Additional requirements of 55 boxes for MPS at 677K. Total Procurement 145 at 1.8M.

and the second of the second o

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

43a. Refrigeration System (Refrigeration Unit)

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

The refrigeration system is a service support module that combines the flexibility, efficiency, and usefulness of container standardization. Each system includes a rigid, unitized, insulated box with ANSI/ISO fittings and a separate modular refrigeration unit. The size of the combined configuration is 8'x8'x10'. The 4000 BTU refrigeration unit (compressor) will replace the ME-10 compressor and provide a chill and a freeze capability when installed with the 350-cu.ft. box.

43a

The second secon

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Refrigeration Unit Unit Cost: 12.4	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
l. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated			ul	Included in Item 43	tem 43				
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	2,133 2,308	1,934 2,245	2,195 2,706	2,096 2,745	1 1	8,358 10,004	1 1	8,358 10,004
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	11	121 140	237 290	346 450	346 472	1,050 1,352	1,038	2,088
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	; ;	11	1 1	1 1	22 29	114	136 184	342	478
201 20uantity	*	172	156	177	169	1	674	Ì	67 4
Spares and Repair Parts	ļ	i	40	70	75	L9	252	51	303
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	9 9	13	26 13	10 10	55 41	1 1	55 41
Documentation	ł	84	ł	1	;	ł	84	ŀ	84
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	;	1	3	7	2	9	12	18

*In FY82 Procurement of 200 units for MPS at 2.5M.

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

44. Mobile Electric Power Distribution System (MEPDIS)

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

MEPDIS is a new capability which provides a safer, more efficient means of power distribution in a tactical situation than is possible with current equipment. The module, compatible with ANSI/ISO standards and capable of being packaged in four QUADCONs, includes a collection of standard power distribution panels and cables capable of distributing power to any combination of 60 Hz loads up to 1,100 feet from the primary source. Unlike the present field-improvised distribution systems, MEPDIS components are 100 percent retrievable. The prime features of the system will be the ability to rapidly install power in the field and the elimination of the complex and cumbersome cabling and load balancing that is now required. Development of a 400 Hz system is underway.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	Distribution System (MEPDIS) Unit Cost: 130.8	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qtv
જ	1. RDI&E - Cost Cost Escalated	20 20	17	89 87	50	50	50	339		339
2. PMC	MC - Cost Cost Escalated	2,485 2,485	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	2,485	; ;	2,485
3. OMMC	MMC - Cost Cost Escalated	۱,	Forecas	Forecast by MCLB, Albany	3, Albany	1	1	1	! !	7
8-1 Ö	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	42	42 48	42 51	42	42	210	126	336
Quantity	tity	19	:	ł	1	1	ŀ	19	l	- 61
Spare	Spares and Repair Parts		Forecas	Forecast by MCLB, Albany	i, Albany					
lst Des tion -	lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	8 4	w w		11	1 1	1 1	111	1 1	111
Docun	Documentation		FY81 Pr	FY81 Procurement Action	. Action -	1				•
2nd De tion -	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	ł	3	ł	;	1	i	4		4

ITEM NOMENCLATURE 45. Air Conditioners

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

equipment. With the introduction of FLS, air conditioners are reflected for medical, dental, and other functional have been shown for those standard 60 and 400 hertz air conditioners needed to fully or partially fill the inventory objective associated with the current capability, and for additional 60 hertz air conditioners associated The Marine Corps employs a family of 60 and 400 hertz standard air conditioners to ensure proper environmental control (temperature, humidity, and air filtration) for the efficient operation of thermal-sensitive operating personnel whose duties are regularly performed in fully enclosed shelters. Quantitative requirements areas where health and comfort considerations are principal factors, especially to maintain the efficiency of with the introduction of small shelters.

45

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	Air 6	Air Conditioners Unit Cost: Varies*	BY82	FY83	1	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
	1. RDT&E - Cost	- Cost Cost Escalated			 	,	!	Com	Completed	1	1	!
	2. PMC	- Cost Cost Escalated	2,576 ed 2,576	6 9,206 6 9,961	06 61	7,4078,600	4,688 5,780	2,735 3,581	3,510 4,814	30,122 35,312	17,038 26,276	47,160 61,588
	3. OMMC	- Cost Cost Escalated		1 1	1 1	309 356	579 709	754 981	856 1,167	2,498 3,213	5,039	7,537
B-1	4. OMMCR - Cost	- Cost Cost Escalated		1 1	1 1	82 95	157 192	218 284	253 345	710 916	1,575	2,285
111	Quantity		338	8 1,358	28	1,132	734	424	545	4,531	2,636	7,167
	Spares and Repair Parts	epair Parts			•	In	In Service		st by MCL	- Forecast by MCLB Albany	•	
	lst Destinati tion - (lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	•	1 1	; ;	45	47 26	34 20	16 10	142 91	132 77	274 168
	Documentation	Co						Com	Completed			
	2nd Destinati tion -	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B		l	;	;	22	23	14	59	72	131
	*B0001-5.1 B0002-5.4 B0003-5.6	80004-5.8 80005-6.8 80006-7.9	B0008-10.2 B0009-4.6 B0011-9.5									

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

45a Air Conditioner Skid Assembly

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

embarkation, transportation, and operation. It is provided when an air conditioner is to be operated in a remote mode, that is, outside of the supported shelter. Components of the assembly kit include ducting and fittings to shelters of the Marine Corps Expeditionary Shelter System (MCESS). Thus, vertically configured air conditioners conditioners in the standard family. Requirements for the skid assemblies have been identified to support This assembly is an open metal frame with a skid base which is employed to house an air conditioner during connect the air conditioner to the shelter. The remote mode of operation has been adopted for air conditioning are to be employed and the Marine Corps uses two skid assemblies applicable to four of the vertical air current needs and the introduction of MCESS.

45a

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	Air Conditioner Skid Assembly Unit Cost: Values*	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
	1. RDI&E - Cost	!	Comple	Completed						
	Cost Escalated	!	- !	;	:	ł	1	•	;	:
	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	900	2,114 2,287	2,187 2,539	1,326	845 1,106	1,089	8,461 9,960	5,229 8,064	13,690 18,024
	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	w w	14 16	26 32	33 43	38 52	114 146	227	341
B-	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	T 7	mm	9	9	111	30	69	66
113	Quantity	553	938	984	296	380	490	3,951	2,352	6,303
	Spares and Repair Parts	•	Not red	Not required	•					
	lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	11	9 2	31 22	41 24	25	17 8	123	117	240 137
	Documentation	!	Completed	ted						
	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	1	4	13	18	13	84	99	113
	*B2004-2.0 B2006-2.54									

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

6. Electric Generators

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

The Marine Corps employs a family of standard generators to meet its tactical electric power requirements for both 60 and 400 hertz. Quantitative requirements have been indicated for those standard items needed to fully or partially fill the inventory objective associated with the current capability, and for additional 60 hertz generators to support the increase in power associated with the introduction of shelters and service support equipment.

46

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	Electric Generators Unit Cost: Varies*	BY 82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
	1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	11	1 1	0 !	Completed	•	•	!	1	
	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated 3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	10,853 d 10,853	10,090 10,917 72 78	13,128 15,242 477 550	10,055 12,398 1,158 1,419	6,118 8,011 1,487 1,934	4,852 6,654 1,704 2,322	55,096 64,075 4,898 6,303	18,968 29,250 7,839	74,064 93,325 12,737
(4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated		23 25	151 174	298 365	486 632	532 725	1,490	2,521	4,011
3-115	Quantity Spares and Repair Parts	1,017 In	905 Service -	1,319 Foreca	1,319 860 438 - Forecast by MCLB Albany	438 B Albany -	197	4,736	778	5,514
	1st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	9 %	135 86	183 94	132 85	130	586 331	426 190	1,012 521
	Documentation		İ	Completed	ted					
	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	1	٣	62	98	63	214	290	504
	*B0730-5.4 B1021-18.4 B0891-11.2 B1045-43.5 B0953-14.5 B1050-50.7	B0780-4.9 B1 B0921-12.9 B0971-15.1	1016-20.3							

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

46a. Generator Frequency Convertor/Dummy Load

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

The Marine Corps employs supplementary electrical equipment to meet power generation/distribution requirements. Frequency convertors are used to convert 60 Hertz power to 400 Hertz power to operate A dummy load generator set is also employed to simulate the characteristics of electrical loads and dissipate circuit loads on the power distribution capacity have been adopted by the Marine Corps. Quantitative requirements have been specified for these items to support the current capability in that they are not necessary to meet basic air conditioning and lighting system. Three frequency convertors of 4, 10, and 100 kW capacities and one dummy load set of 100kW certain equipment, generally in the communications - electronics category. needs for the introduction of MCESS shelters.

46a

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	Electric Generator Frequency Converter Unit Cost: Varies*	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
	1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	!	1	1	:	Соп	Completed	1	:	
	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1,141	12,106 13,099	11,866	1 1	9,708 12,712	1 1	34,821 40,728	1 1	34,821 40,728
	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	33	83 96	161 197	161 209	186 254	624 792	558	1,182
B-1	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	12	38 44	66 81	99 98	74 101	256 325	222	478
117	Quantity	96	115	192	ł	63	;	99†	1	997
	Spares and Repair Parts	es ul	rvice -	Forecas	t by MCL	- Forecast by MCLB Albany				
	lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	22 13	74	52 36	1 1	60	208 128	11	208 128
	Documentation					Corr	Completed	ı		
	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	1	п	42	29	28	110	:	110
	*80673-5.8 80674-154.1 80671-29.3 80579-13.7									

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

47. Bulk Laundry Unit

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This is one of the service support functions that can be configured to take advantage of the efficiency, ments, improved reliability, and will do a significantly better job of washing. It will be mounted in an 8'x8'x20' flexibility, and usefulness of standard ANSI/ISO containers. The bulk laundry unit will utilize commercial equipment and replace the trailer-mounted laundry units in the present inventory. It will have reduced power requirerigid MCESS shelter and can be used aboard commercial ships as well as in the field.

47

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Bulk Laundry Unit Unit Cost: 32.7	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
 RDI&E - Cost Cost Escalated 	63	57 62	53 61	50 61	25	25	273 314	1 1	273 314
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	3,139	3,107 3,831	1 1	1 1	6,246 7,475	1 1	6,246 7,475
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	186 228	253 329	253 345	692 902	759	1,451
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	84 109	84 114	168 223	252	420
Guantity	!	;	96	95	ŀ	ł	191	•	191
Spares and Repair Parts	;	1	1	62	66	41	202	24	226
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	11	11	1 1	48	60 46	1 1	108 89	1 1	108 89
Documentation	1	I	63	ł	;	1	63	1	63
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	1	1	1	13	15	28	:	28

ITEM NOMENCLATURE 48. Bath/Shower Unit

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

flexibility, and usefulness of standard ANSI/ISO containers. The bath/shower unit will replace the trailer-This is one of the service support functions that can be configured to take advantage of the efficiency, mounted bath unit in the present inventory and will be capable of being operated either inside or outside an 8'x8'x20' MCESS shelter. Procurement of the present trailer mounted system (B0060) will continue through FY81. Procurement of the FLS bath/shower unit will not be required until FY91.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Bath/Shower Unit Unit Cost: 19.6	BY82	FY83	FY84	F Y 85	F Y 86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
 RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated 	24 24	27	20 23	20 25	20 26	20 27	131 154	1 1	131 154
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	2,764 4,476	2,764 4,476
3. UMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	11	1 1	1 1	1 1	113	113
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	11	1 1	11	1 1	1 1	38	38
Quantity	1	;	1	;	;	ł	:	141	141
Spares and Repair Parts	1	:	1	1	;	;	;	86	86
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	11	11	11	1 1	1 1	99	96 84
Documentation	1	1	i	!	;	1	1	138	138
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	;	1	1	1	1	1	;	24	24

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

Marine Corps Field Feeding System (MFFS)

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This is one of the service support functions that can be configured to take advantage of the efficiency, MCESS shelters complexed together and containing food preparation and serving equipment sufficient to feed ,000 men per hour. Two additional 8'x8'x20' shelters are required to house the sanitation unit for tray, pot, and ban washing. The system will be used in conjunction with other service support modules to include the fuel/water storage modules and refrigeration system and will be powered by standard DOD generators. The system can be divided into two smaller complexes, an intermediate size configuration capable of feeding 500 men per hour, and a small unit configuration that will feed 200 men per hour. These smaller complexes can be flexibility, and usefulness of standard ANSI/ISO containers. The galley configuration consists of five 8'x8'x20' functionally operated in different locations simultaneously. The system provides a more sanitary environment for food preparation and improved quality over existing equipment and can be used aboard commercial ships as well as in the field.

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

50. Bakery System

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This is one of the service support functions that can be configured to take advantage of the efficiency, flexibility, and usefulness of standard ANSI/ISO containers. The bakery is a continuous process baking system that consists of four major components: (1) a production unit for mixing dough, placing it in pans, and delivering it automatically to the baking unit, (2) a baking unit that consists of proofer and oven sections, (3) a unit where the bread is cooled and depanned, and (4) the final unit that slices and wraps the bread. The baking system will replace the overage M1945 field baking unit. The new system will produce a product of consistent high quality regardless of baking personnel skill levels and variations in ambient temperature and humidity.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Bakery System Unit Cost: *816	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	nn	~ ~	5	\$	5	5	30 36	11	30 36
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	; ;	2,448 3,357	2,448	1,632 2,339	4,080 5,696
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1		; ;	1 1	1 1	; ;	195	195
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	: :	48	48
Quantity	1	ł	1	;	;	8	٣	2	\$
Spares and Repair Parts	1	;	1	;	!	;	1	89	88
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	; ;	; ;	11	22 10	22 10
Documentation	1	1	1	!	:	204	204	;	204
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	1	1	;	1	1	;	12	12

*Uhit Price includes five 8'x8'x20' Rigid Shelters.

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

51. Scraper, Earthmoving

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

with a proven performance in the construction industry. The self-propelled scraper will replace the 8-cubic-yard This item is a motorized, self-propelled unit which loads, transports, dumps, and spreads earth in performance of a wide variety of road building and site preparation tasks. The item is primarily a commercial product towed scraper which is pulled by a rubber-tired tractor (MRS-100). Advantages include higher production rates, reduced maintenance, and potential compatibility with FLS dimensional standards.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Scraper Earthmoving Unit Cost: 128.6	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E · Cost Cost Escalated	10 10	20 22	30	30 37	40 52	40 55	170	1 1	170 211
2. PMC · Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	9,516 10,296	1 1	; ;	; ;	1 1	9,516 10,296	;	9,516 10,296
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	347 400	347 425	347 451	347 473	1,388	1,041	2,429
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	123	123 151	123	123 168	492 621	369	861
Quantity	}	74	;	:	1	1	74	:	74
Spares and Repair Parts	1	1	157	122	4	7	287	28	315
1st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	772 407	11	1 1	1 1	772 407	1 1	772 407
Documentation	1	95	1	;	!	:	95	{	95
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	;	1	1	402	:	1	402	!	402

FY83 Procurement for MPS is 6 Scrapers @772K. Total Procurement is 80 Scrapers @10.3M.

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

52. Tractor, Full-tracked

NARRALIVE JUSTIFICATION

This diesel engine tractor is a general construction and earthmoving item used with appropriate attachtions this tractor is used to assist vehicles stalled in the surf and on the beach. It is planned to tow and ments to dig, load, and spread earth. It is also used to tow heavy loads and, when equipped with a heavy duty winch, is used to assist in heavy equipment recovery operations in marginal terrain. During amphibious operaoperate the LACH.

tractor include higher productivity, lower maintenance, improved compatibility with related equipment and The unit will replace the current full-tracked medium tractor (82-30-FA-M2). Advantages of the new potential dimensional standardization within the FLS concept.

The FY82 procurement of 14 tractors will be allotted to MPS.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Tractor, Full Tracked Unit Cost: 140.7	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Oty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	10	25	35 40	35	40 52	40 55	185 227	1 1	185 227
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	11	11,115 12,026	28,562 33,160	1 1	1 1	: 1	39,677 45,186	1 1	39,677 45,186
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	667 718	1,385 1,598	1,385	1,385 1,802	1,385	6,207 7,703	4,155	10,362
4. UMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	11	515 594	515 631	515 670	515 702	2,060 2,597	1,545	3,605
Quantity	*	79	203	1	;	:	282	ŀ	282
Spares and Repair Parts	1	;	222	584	318	10	1,134	70	1,204
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	329 329	2,065	1 1	11	2,394 1,326	1 1	2,394 1,326
Documentation	1	397	:	1	:	;	397	ł	397
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	1	;	98	1,078	;	1,176	!	1,176

*FY82 Procurement for MPS is 14 tractors @1.8M.

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

53. Lubrication Service Unit

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

consists of tanks, pumps, hoses, grease guns, and other accessories needed to lubricate and service vehicles and This item is skid-mounted and is dimensionally standardized for ease of handling and transportation. It equipment. The unit is currently powered by a small gasoline engine. It replaces a similar item in the current inventory which is mounted on a two-wheel trailer. Advantages of this unit include ease of handling, compatibility with other items, and elimination of the dedicated trailer.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Lubrication Service Unit Unit Cost: 28.3	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E - Cost		İ	Not Applicable	plicable	į				
Cost Escalated	1	!	:	:	!	:	1	•	1
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	2,094 2,266	2,349	2,377 2,931	1,358	: :	8,178 9,702	: :	8,178 9,702
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	119	260 319	308 401	308 420	995 1,271	924	1,919
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	63 82	126 172	189 254	378	
Quantity	1	74	83	84	48	:	289	ł	289
Spares and Repair Parts	1	ţ	07	78	74	52	244	79	308
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1		23	62	54 28	14 14	153	1 1	153
Documentation	1	83	1	;	ţ	:	82	ł	82
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	1	1	4	77	33	49	:	99

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

4. Steam Cleaner Unit

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

group. It is dimensionally standardized for ease of handling and transportation and replaces a similar item which with ancillary pumps, controls, hoses, and nozzles. It is used to clean grease, mud, and other forms of dirt from The steam cleaner is a skid-mounted unit consisting of an oil-fired steam generator and a water supply tank vehicles and equipment. This item is allocated widely to units throughout the division, wing, and service support is trailer-mounted. Advantages lie in the compatibility with other dimensionally standardized items and elimination of the dedicated trailer.

54

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	Steam Cleaner Unit Unit Cost: 6.9	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
	1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	10	N N	29	5	5	5	35	1 1	35
	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	449 588	428 587	877 1,175	1,828 2,724	2,705 3,899
	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	11	11	1 1	1 1	27	27	251	278
8-	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	'	1 1	1 1	54	54
133	Guantity	1	ŀ	:	;	99	62	127	265	392
	Spares and Repair Parts	ļ	:	ł	ł	:	6	6	88	76
	lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	11	1 1	11	1 1	11	2 2	77	38 23	40
	Documentation	;	:	ł	;	27	ł	27	ŀ	27
	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	1	l	1	1	ł	;	17	17

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

55. Amphibious Assault Fuel System (AAFS)

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This item is in the present inventory. It is not scheduled for replacement and there are no plans to procure a new system. A series of R&D efforts are in progress or planned that will result in the introduction of productimproved components for the system; however, the cost and date of introduction of these items are not included herein. Expenditure of funds is planned during FY82-85, to procure component parts in order to eliminate shortages or upgrade existing capabilities.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Amphib Fuel Sy: Unit C	Amphibious Assault Fuel System (AAFS) Unit Cost: 1,064	BY 82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E -	- Cost Cost Escalated	185 185	250 270	250 289	375	475	475	2,010	. 1 1	2,010
2. PMC -	- Cost Cost Escalated	5,320 5,320	4,256 4,605	5,320 6,177	6,384 7,871	11	1 1	21,280	1 1	21,280
3. OMMC -	- Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	53 57	96 111	149 183	213 277	213 290	724 918	639	1,363
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost	Cost Cost Escalated	l	1	Ž	None Required	pa	:	;		
Quantity		*	7	5	9	ł	;	50 2	;	: %
Spares and Repair Parts	air Parts				F	recast by	Forecast by MCLB, Albany.		1	3
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	ion Transporta- Case A Case B	, ,	7 8	13 13	21 19	88		129	1 1	129
Documentation				S	Completed -	1				3
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	ion Transporta- Case B	;	;	5	8	2	19	76	1	76

*FLS Quantities only FY82 Procurement is 6 units for MPS. Total is 11 units at 11.7M.

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

56. Tactical Airfield Fuel Dispensing System (TAFDS)

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

improved components for the system; however, the cost and date of introduction of these items are not included This item is in the present inventory. It is not scheduled for replacement and there are no plans to procure a new system. A series of R&D efforts are in progress or planned that will result in the introduction of productherein. Expenditure of funds is planned during FY82-85 to procure component parts in order to eliminate shortages or upgrade existing capabilities.

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	Tactical and Fuel Dispensing System (TAFDS) Unit Cost: 156.9	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
	1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated					Not a	Not applicable			
	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1,255	1,255	; ;	1 1	; ;	2,510 2,815	; ;	2,510 2,815
	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	7 7	2 2	22	3.2	8 10	9 :	14
B-	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	113	24 29	24 31	24	83 106	27	155
137	Quantity	*	89	8	ł	1	ł	16	l	16
	Spares and Repair Parts				F	recast by	Forecast by MCLB, Albany	bany		
	1st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	! !	; ;	11	==		1 1	22 22	11	22
	Documentation			C	Completed -	!				
	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	;	;	;	;	7	;	1	;	7

*FY82 Procurement is 10 for MPS.

ITEM NOMENCLATURE

77. Helicopter Expedient Refueling System (HERS)

NARRATIVE JUSTIFICATION

This item is in the present inventory. It is not scheduled for replacement and there are no plans to procure a new system.

Expenditure of funds is planned during FY83-85 to procure component parts in order to upgrade existing systems and replenish stocks at MCLB Albany. These funds are not included herein.

Same Same

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	Helicopter Expedient Refueling System (HERS) Unit Cost: 50	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Oty
	1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated				•	Not ag	Not applicable			
	2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated									
	3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated				F0	recast by	Forecast by MCLB, Albany	any		
B-1	4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated									
.39	Quantity					In service-	ervice			
	Spares and Repair Parts				F0	recast by l	Forecast by MCLB, Albany-	any		
	lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B									
	Documentation			Co	Completed	ļ				
	2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B									

SYSTEM/SUBSYSTEM RECAPITULATION Sand Control

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

400		Container Schaueren	E 60							Total	Total	Total Cost/
				BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	POM 83	Outyear	Qty
Sanak V		1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	alated	651 651	626 675	838 968	836 1,026	836 1,089	620 847	4,407 5,256	1 1	4,407 5,256
A San State State of		2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	alated	3,010 3,010	11,786 12,752	6,108 7,092	7,082 8,733	7,246 9,486	4,644	39,876 47,441	16,731 25,546	56,607 72,987
		3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	alated	1 1	1 1	177	352 432	528 687	704 960	1,761 2,283	2,917	4,678 2,283
		4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	alated	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	! !	: 1	504	504
	B-140	5. OMMC* - Cost - Cost Escalated	alated	1 1	2,301 2,478	2,225 2,566	2,236 2,739	2,236 2,907	2,035 2,774	11,033	2,035 2,898	13,068 16,362
	0	6. OMMCR*- Cost - Cost Escalated	alated	1 1	1 1	1 1	!!	: :	: :	1 1	4,242 6,438	4,242 6,438
		Spares and Repair Parts		1	1	58	147	148	149	502	683	1,185
		1st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	rta-	1 1	198	772 775	270 250	562 509	693 636	2,495 2,368	3,805 1,622	6,300 3,990
1 1		Documentation		158	454	90	:	;	:	702	:	702
		2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	rta-	;	I	67	216	163	130	558	2,308	2,866
12 Table 1		Total Subsystem - Case A - Case B		3,819 3,819	15,365	10,268 10,320	10,923 11,119	11,556	8,845 8,918	60,776 61,207	30,917 31,042	91,693 92,249
Santania 5	L.	*Inserts, PALCONs, PALCON Racks	LCON Racks									
11												

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	ocal POM Outyear	Cost/ Gty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	297 297	267 290	302 350	304 374	304 396	300	1,774 2,116	11	1,774 2,116
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated 18	18,413 18,413	53,286 57,655	84,366 97,947	49,211 60,677	43,355 56,763	42,793 58,684	291,424 350,139	176,825 271,411	468,249 621,550
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	: 1	449 484	1,664	3,215 3,938	4,396 5,719	5,544	15,268 19,616	30,819	46,087
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	96	388 447	1,219	1,443	1,459	4,598 5,904	9,152	13,750
Spares and Repair Parts	1	179	781	1,570	1,698	1,031	5,259	6,023	11,282
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	625 334	1,103 846	2,032	500 356	1,936	6, 196 3, 321	2,903 1,646	9,099
Documentation 7	2,383	:	:	1	1	1	2,383	:	2,383
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	;	1	339	382	1,203	301	2,225	2,618	4,843
Total Subsystem Case A Case B 21	21,093	54,895 54,604	88,604 88,686	57,551 56,879	51,696 52,755	53,063 52,235	326,902 326,252	225,722 227,083	552,624 553,335

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Motor Transport Subsystem Unit Cost:	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	809	787 851	728 843	438 538	297 387	264 361	3,323	} }	3,323 3,789
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	53,735 53,735	136,540 147,736	123,294 143,144	142,672 175,914	76,245 99,703	49,346 67,674	581,832 687,906	12,886 18,471	594,718 706,377
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	4,631 5,041	15,019 17,325	21,246 26,026	26,125 33,986	28,948 39,453	96,019 121,831	89,223	185,242
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	962 1,109	3,310 4,054	6,386 8,307	8,889 12,115	19,547 25,585	38,751	58,298
Spares and Repair Parts	1	1,079	3,117	3,637	3,247	2,561	13,641	5,223	18,864
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	5,866 1,589	3,844 1,999	5,652 3,616	5,956 3,774	3,225 2,149	24,543 13,127	5,693 2,904	30,236 16,031
Documentation	23,447	3,631	66	2,507	1	49	29,733	I	29,733
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	1	4,447	2,213	2,320	2,539	11,519	3,997	15,516
Total Subsystem Case A Case B	77,991	152,584 148,307	147,063 149,665	179,462 179,639	118, 256 118, 394	93,282 94,745	768,838 768,741	151,776	920,414

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Material Handling Equipment Subsystem	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	250 250	100	25 82	35	30	30	495	1 1	495
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	5,643 6,552	1 1	1 1	1 1	5,643 6,552	1 1	5,643 6,552
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	244 299	244 317	244 333	732 949	732	1,464
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	81	81 105	81 110	243 314	243	486
Spares and Repair Parts	1	1	;	108	84	~	195	21	216
1st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	1 1	1 1	1 1	520 244	11	1 1	520 244	1 1	520 244
Documentation	I	1	282	;	1	ł	282	1	282
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	1	1	ł	!	308	ł	308	1	308
Total Subsystem Case A Case B	250 250	100	5,975 5,975	988 712	439	358 358	8,110 8,142	966 966	9,106 9,138

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Service Support Subsystem	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	1,289	1,404	1,775 2,051	1,727 2,116	1,567 2,044	1,420 1,939	9,182 10,954	1 1	9,182 10,954
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	69,206 69,206	109,042 117,984	119,740 139,017	78,854 97,226	54,857 71,830	30,055 41,218	461,754 536,481	78,475 120,463	540,229 656,944
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	2,202 2,372	5,512 6,357	8,947 10,960	10,406 13,536	11,149 15,198	38,216 48,423	41,910	80,126
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	78 84	1,044 1,204	1,329	3,263	3,570	9,284 12,024	13,606	22,890
Spares and Repair Parts	1	11	1,731	2,217	1,983	1,135	7,843	2,831	10,674
lst Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	8 7	206 150	1,730	3,409	1,417 824	470 352	7,240	2,198 1,199	9,438
Documentation	450	658	2,480	i	98	256	3,942	138	4,080
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	;	1	92	786	1,750	803	3,431	1,091	4,522
Total Subsystem - Case A - Case B	70,953	114,367	134,012 133,520	96,483 95,637	73,591 74,748	48,055 48,740	537,461 537,905	139,158 139,250	676,619 677,155

FLS POM 83 (FY83-87) PLANNING SUMMARY Cost (\$000) and Quantity (Units)

Total System	BY82	FY83	FY84	FY85	FY86	FY87	Total POM 83	Total POM Outyear	Total Cost/ Qty
1. RDT&E - Cost Cost Escalated	3,296 3,296	3,184 3,439	3,693	3,340	3,034	2,634	19,181 22,654	1 1	19,181 22,654
2. PMC - Cost Cost Escalated	144,364 144,364	310,654 336,127	339,151 393,752	277,819 342,550	181,603 237,782	126,838 173,944	1,380,429	284,917 435,891	1,665,346 2,064,410
3. OMMC - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	7,332	22,372 25,805	34,004 41,655	41,699 54,245	46,589 63,500	151,996 193,102	165,601	317,597
4. OMMCR - Cost Cost Escalated	1 1	167 180	2,394 2,760	5,939 7,273	11,173	13,999 19,078	33,672 43,827	62,256	95,928
5. OMMC* - Cost - Cost Escalated	1 1	2,301 2,478	2,225 2,566	2,236 2,739	2,236 2,907	2,035 2,774	11,033	2,035 2,898	13,068 16,362
6. OMMCR*- Cost - Cost Escalated	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	1 1	4,242 6,438	4,242 6,438
Spares and Repair Parts	1	2,035	5,687	7,679	7,160	4,879	27,440	14,781	42,221
1st Destination Transporta- tion - Case A Case B	8 4	6,895 2,271	7,449	11,883	8,435	6,324 3,944	40,994 23,313	14,599 7,371	55,593 30,684
Documentation	26,438	4,743	2,951	2,507	98	305	37,042	138	37,180
2nd Destination Transporta- tion - Case B	:	;	4,927	3,597	5,744	3,773	18,041	10,014	28,055
Total System - Case A - Case B	174,106 174,102	337,311 332,687	385,922 388,166	345,407 343,986	255,438 258,210	203,603 204,996	1,701,787	548,569 551,355	2,250,356 2,253,502

*Insert, PALCON, and PALCON rack procurement costs